PUBLIC NOTICE OF A MEETING FOR NEVADA STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS, SUB-COMMITTEE TO REVIEW EDUCATION/TRAINING EQUIVALENCY

DATE OF MEETING: Monday, November 4, 2019 Time:5:15 p.m. PLACE: 4600 Kietzke Lane, building B116, Reno NV 89521 (Zoom Meeting) | Zoom Meeting ID: 295-977-485

1) Call to order/roll call to determine the presence of a quorum.

Committee called to order 5:30 p.m. Whitney Owens Anthony Papa Stephanie Holland

Others Present

Morgan Gleich Tracy Moore Beverly Barclay Sharon Simington William Papa

2) Public comment.

Dr. Barclay introduced herself to the committee as a new applicant, who had applied previously in 2008 — interested in knowing and understanding the process.

3) Approval of meeting minutes from the previous meeting; September 16, 2019. Minutes approved by consensus.

4) Discuss applicants requiring education and application review.

A. Tracy Moore

Dr. Moore attended the ATEAM meeting to clarify the directive of the ATEAM from the September committee meeting, regarding the update in the status of her additional courses requested as well as clarification of the expectation of the additional hours.

Dr. Owens requested clarification regarding the supervision practice plan for review by the Board.

Dr. Owens clarified that the Board is working on the regulations to allow them to be clearer for the public. That the stipend needs to be placed on the SP, in the space provided.

The 4 hours of "internship" level supervision needs to be provided to complete the missing 470 internship hours. Dr. Moore will submit an updated supervision plan and work agreement to the Board that Dr. Owens and Dr. Holland will review

B. Sharon Simington

Ms. Simington submitted additional information regarding the internship supervision. Ms. Simington requested information regarding the requirement of receiving supervision through direct contact. Within the NAC, there is no outline regarding off-site training hours but does note that internships need to follow the American Psychological Association's Standard of Accreditation, (APA SOA)

Ms. Simington explained to the committee why she is requesting a unique supervision plan. As she works fulltime in another field and receives supervision a few hours a week off-site to meet requirements.

It was noted that 25% of the intern's time is face to face client services, according to the APPIC website. Dr. Owens requested further information regarding direct supervision to understand her request better, Ms. Simington receives 3 hours minimum, during her part-time internship. Dr. Holland noted that the 4-hour minimum of supervision is a requirement for full and part-time. The committee reviewed the APA, SOA's that state a 4 hour minimum of supervision.

Dr. Holland requested if the full-time worksite would be able to facilitate some of their internship hours. If you are able to further your work sites, new supervision plans must be submitted to the Board office for approval.

Ms. Simington requested clarification regarding working off-site from the supervising psychologist. Dr. Owens clarified that under the current law, that is not allowed. As a supervisee, all of their clients are the clients of their supervisors, and the licensed psychologist is responsible for the supervisor. If something were to happen in the course of the supervision, the licensed supervisor must be able to take over complete control of the file. Director Gleich noted that two supervisors are allowed in the receipt of appropriate supervision.

Ms. Simington requested if a psychological intern could receive supervision from a non-psychologist supervisor,

similar to the psychological assistant. Dr. Papa noted that the APA SOA requires a clinical psychologist supervisor, and Dr. Holland clarified that a secondary supervisor could be of a different licensed professional, as approved by the supervisor.

5) The meeting schedule for the committee.

December 2, 2019: 5:30p.m.

6) Discuss the development of the step by step manual (similar to the ASPPB Mobility Procedure and Policies Manual.) Developing our own tools; making a clear checklist and review sheets.

Dr. Owens worked on the formatting of the manual, and Dr. Papa was going to put foreign applicant information into the manual. Dr. Owens noted that we could either wait to complete the manual or to show the current procedures to bring it to the full Board meeting, for review.

7) (For Possible Action) Discussion and Development of Endorsement language changes made in 2019 Legislative session. A. Review R0158-19: the NAC draft language to address the endorsement language

Dr. Holland will assist Dr. Papa on completing the review of the states, and the equivalency with other jurisdictions. The recommendation is to remove the states from the language and maintained on the Board's website.

B. How to handle endorsement applications received in the Board office during the development of new NAC 641 language.

Currently in limbo with applicants from other jurisdictions, prior to July 1, were mandated to take any license and give them a license, with the change in the NRS we are allowed to evaluate and require some applicants to take the state exam and need further evaluation. Director Gleich is concerned about how to handle the transition of the two requirements. Upon discussion, it was determined that until the NRS is codified and the NAC is updated to handle the endorsement application in a similar matter.

- C. Review and Discussion of the Red light/Green Light language to provide guidance to the Board when reviewing applicants from different jurisdictions applying for licensure by endorsement.
- 8) Other Items for Future Discussion.

Discussion of requesting further information in the psychological intern application process, regarding following APA SOA.

9) **Public Comment.**

No Public comment

10) ADJOURNMENT

11) Dr. Papa moved to adjourn. Dr. Holland second. It was adjourned by Conesus at 6: 24 pm.