

**PUBLIC NOTICE OF A MEETING FOR
NEVADA STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS'
APPLICATION TRACKING EQUIVALENCY AND MOBILITY
"ATEAM" COMMITTEE**

DATE OF MEETING: Friday, September 24, 2021 Time: 8:30 a.m.

This meeting will be conducted via remote technology, and with one physical meeting location at the Office of the Board of Psychological Examiners, 4600 Kietzke Lane, Suite B116, Reno, Nevada, 89502. Video- and teleconferencing will be conducted through "Zoom." To participate remotely, on the scheduled day and time, enter the meeting from the Zoom website at: <https://us06web.zoom.us/j/88103991529>. To access the meeting via audio only, dial 1-669-900-6833 and enter the meeting ID: 881-0399-1529.

The Board office recommends that individuals unfamiliar with ZOOM visit the website in advance to familiarize themselves with the format by viewing the online tutorials and reading the FAQs. To learn more about Zoom, go to <https://zoom.us/>.

The Committee will receive public comment via email. Those wishing to make public comment should email their public comments to the Board office at nbop@govmail.state.nv.us. Public comments received before the meeting will be forwarded to the Committee for their consideration. Public comments received during the meeting will be provided to the Committee members but may not be available for consideration during the meeting. Public comments received will be included in the public record (meeting minutes) but will not necessarily be read aloud during the meeting. In compliance with Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 241 (Open Meeting Law), the Committee is precluded from taking action on items raised by public comment which are not already on the agenda.

PLEASE NOTE: The Committee may take items out of order, combine items for consideration, and items may be pulled or removed from the agenda at any time. Public comment will be taken at the beginning and end of the meeting. The public may provide comment on any matter whether or not that matter is a specific topic on the agenda. However, prior to the commencement and conclusion of a contested case or quasi-judicial proceeding that may affect the due process rights of an individual, the Committee may refuse to consider public comment on that item. (NRS 233B.126) Public comment that is willfully disruptive is prohibited, and individuals who willfully disrupt the meeting may be removed from the meeting. (NRS 241.030(5)(b)) The Committee may convene in closed session to consider the character, alleged misconduct, professional competence or physical or mental health of a person (NRS 241.030). Once all items on the agenda are completed, the meeting will adjourn.

AGENDA

1. CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL TO DETERMINE THE PRESENCE OF A QUORUM.
2. PUBLIC COMMENT. NOTE: Public comment is welcomed by the Board and may be limited to three minutes per person at the discretion of the Board President. Public comment will be allowed at the beginning and end of the meeting, as noted on the agenda. The Board President may allow additional time to be given a speaker as time allows and in their sole

discretion. Comments will not be restricted based on viewpoint. No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken (NRS 241.020)

3. (For Possible Action) DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO SELECT A COMMITTEE CHAIRPERSON FROM THE CURRENT MEMBERSHIP OF SOSEH ESMAEILI, STEPHANIE HOLLAND, AND STEPHANIE WOODARD.
4. (For Possible Action) DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE APPROVAL OF THE MEETING MINUTES FROM THE AUGUST 27, 2021, MEETING OF THE APPLICATION TRACKING EQUIVALENCY AND MOBILITY (ATEAM) COMMITTEE.
5. (For Possible Action) REVIEW AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON APPLICATIONS FOR LICENSURE AS A PSYCHOLOGIST OR REGISTRATION AS A PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSISTANT, INTERN OR TRAINEE WHO ATTENDED A NON-APA ACCREDITED PROGRAM AND/OR ARE AN APPLICANT FOR LICENSURE BY ENDORSEMENT. SEE ATTACHMENT A FOR THE LIST OF APPLICANTS FOR POSSIBLE CONSIDERATION.
 - A. Jessica Conner (PI)
 - B. Jennifer Berg (Psych)
 - C. Lori Haggard (Psych)
 - D. Brian Olsen (Psych)
 - E. Karima Shagaga (Psych)
6. (For Possible Action) DISCUSSION OF POLICY RELATED TO LICENSURE BY ENDORSEMENT PROCEDURES; AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO PROPOSE REVISIONS TO AND/OR MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS FOR ADOPTION OF THE POLICY.
 - A. Process for review of applicants with 20 or more years' experience but who did not attend an APA-accredited educational program
 - B. Process for review of applicants with 5 or more years' experience whose license is from a state with substantially equivalent licensure requirements
 - C. Review of the State-by-State jurisdiction comparison and the "red light/green light" language when reviewing applicants from different jurisdictions applying for licensure by endorsement.
7. (For Possible Action) DISCUSSION OF ATEAM COMMITTEE OPERATING PROCEDURES; AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO PROPOSE REVISIONS TO AND/OR MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS FOR ADOPTION OF THE PROCEDURES.
 - A. Process for review of applicants with 20 or more years' experience but who did not attend an APA-accredited educational program

- B. Process for review of applicants with 5 or more years' experience whose license is from a state with substantially equivalent licensure requirements
 - C. Review of the State-by-State jurisdiction comparison and the "red light/green light" language when reviewing applicants from different jurisdictions applying for licensure by endorsement.
8. (For Possible Action) DISCUSSION OF UPCOMING MEETING DATES FOR THE ATEAM COMMITTEE
- A. The next ATEAM Committee meeting is scheduled for Friday, October 22, 2021, at 8:30 a.m.
9. ITEMS FOR FUTURE DISCUSSION. (No discussion among the Committee members will take place on this item.)
10. PUBLIC COMMENT. NOTE: Public comment is welcomed by the Board and may be limited to three minutes per person at the discretion of the Board President. Public comment will be allowed at the beginning and end of the meeting, as noted on the agenda. The Board President may allow additional time to be given a speaker as time allows and in his sole discretion. Comments will not be restricted based on viewpoint. No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken (NRS 241.020)
11. (For Possible Action) ADJOURNMENT

The public body is pleased to make reasonable accommodations for members of the public who are disabled and wish to participate in the meeting. If such arrangements are necessary, please contact the board office at (775) 688-1268 no later than noon on Thursday, September 23, 2021.

For supporting materials, visit the Board's website at <http://psyexam.nv.gov/Board/2021/2021/> or contact Lisa Scurry, Executive Director at the Board office by telephone (775-688-1268), e-mail (nbop@govmail.state.nv.us) or in writing at Board of Psychological Examiners, 4600 Kietzke Lane, Suite B-116, Reno, Nevada 89502.

In accordance with NRS 241.020, this public meeting notice has been properly posted at or before 9 a.m. on Tuesday, September 21, 2021, at the following locations:

- Board office located at 4600 Kietzke Lane, Bldg. B-116, Reno;
- Nevada Public Notice website: <https://notice.nv.gov/>; and
- Board's website at <http://psyexam.nv.gov/Board/2021/2021/>.

In addition, this public meeting notice has been sent to all persons on the Board's meeting notice list, pursuant to NRS 241.020(3)(c).

ATTACHMENT A

PSYCHOLOGISTS

Liana Abascal
Catherine Aisner
Gera Anderson
Cherly Ballou
Jennifer Berg
Mantsha Boikanyo
Amanda Borlenghi
Beth Borosh-Gissane
Latoya Brogdon
Corby Bubp
Jodi Cabrera
Si Arthur Chen
Brandon Chuman
Richard Coder

Roman Dietrich
Marissa Elpidama
Howard Friedman
Dana Gionta
Kalana Greer
Lori Haggard
Beth Lavin
Karen Lehman
Jodi Lovejoy
Melissa Marrapese
Nnenna Nwanko
Brian Olsen
Rhea Pobuda
Maxwell Rappaport

Karima Shagaga
Shelly Sheinbein
Aaron Van Smith
Nicole Steiner-Pappalardo
Vahe Sukiasyan
LaTanya Takla
Alisa Turner-Augustyn
Angela Waldrop
Justine Weber
Kiara Wesley
Yvonne Westover
Wendy Worrell

PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSISTANTS

Shannon Burns-Darden
January Prince

Shweta Sharma
Lauren Wing

PSYCHOLOGICAL INTERNS

Shantay Coleman
Jessica Conner

Linda Curtis
Michael Hobbs

Barbara Sommer

PSYCHOLOGICAL TRAINEES

Leila Gail
Erica Marino

Holly Summers
Charlotte Watley

**STATE OF NEVADA BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS'
APPLICATION TRACKING EQUIVALENCY AND MOBILITY (ATEAM)
COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES**

August 27, 2021

1. Call to order/roll call to determine the presence of a quorum.

Call to Order: The meeting of the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners' Application Tracking Equivalency and Mobility (ATEAM) Committee was called to order by Executive Director Lisa Scurry at 8:30 a.m. The physical meeting location was the Office of the Board of Psychological Examiners, 4600 Kietzke Lane, Suite B116, Reno, Nevada, 89502. This meeting was also conducted online via Zoom.

Roll Call: Members Soseh Esmaeili, PsyD, and Stephanie Woodard, PsyD, were present. Member Monique Abarca was absent.

Also present were Lisa Scurry, Executive Director, and member of the public Shanel Harris.

2. Public Comment

There was no public comment at this time. Lisa Scurry, Executive Director, stated that no public comment had been received in the Board office via email in advance of the meeting.

3. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action to Select a Committee Chairperson from the Current Membership of Stephanie Woodard, Soseh Esmaeili, and Monique Abarca.

Lisa Scurry, Executive Director, stated that Monique Abarca resigned from the Committee. As a result, it was suggested that the item be put off until the next meeting when all three members have been selected and are present.

No further discussion and no action was taken.

4. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Approval of the Meeting Minutes from the July 20, 2021, Meeting of the Application Tracking Equivalency and Mobility (ATEAM) Committee.

There were no comments nor proposed changes to the minutes.

Member Dr. Esmaeili approved the minutes as to form, not content, as she was not on the Committee on July 20, 2021. Prior to the meeting, Director Scurry provided the minutes to the previous two members of the Committee, Dr. Whitney Owens and Dr. Stephanie Holland. They reviewed the minutes for content and accuracy and recommended no changes to Director Scurry.

On motion by Stephanie Woodard, second by Soseh Esmaeili, the Application Tracking Equivalency and Mobility (ATEAM) Committee approved the minutes of the July 20, 2021, meeting of the ATEAM Committee. (Yea: Soseh Esmaeili and Stephanie Woodard) Motion Carried Unanimously: 2-0

5. (For Possible Action) Review and Possible Action on Applications for Licensure as a Psychologist or Registration as a Psychological Assistant, Intern or Trainee who Attended a Non-APA Accredited Program and/or are an Applicant for Licensure by Endorsement. (See Attachment A for the List of Applicants.)

A. Tanya Ayim (PA)

Member Dr. Stephanie Woodard reviewed the application of Tanya Ayim. Dr. Ayim's PLUS report showed she earned 1,800 internship training hours, making her deficient of the required 2,000 hours. She was also lacking the required number of supervision hours. Dr. Woodard expressed concern over a discrepancy between the dates of the internship and the total number of weeks listed. As a result, Dr. Woodard stated the application, as presented, would not meet the requirements for registration as a psychological assistant.

Director Scurry stated that she had discussed with Dr. Ayim that she may need to register as a psychological intern to complete the hours. Ms. Scurry added that she was told prior to the meeting that Dr. Ayim may be withdrawing her application.

Dr. Woodard recommended Dr. Ayim make any corrections to the application and complete the internship hours, as well as any other deficiencies, prior to applying as a psychological assistant. Member Dr. Esmaeili agreed with the recommendation.

Ms. Scurry asked if Dr. Ayim would meet the requirements as a psychological intern. Dr. Woodard stated the education appeared to be equivalent. For the internship, she would need at least 200 hours of training, 20 individual supervision hours, and 100 group supervision hours. In the event Dr. Ayim re-applies as a psychological intern, the PLUS application will re-evaluated by the Committee.

On motion by Stephanie Woodard, second by Soseh Esmaeili, the Application Tracking Equivalency and Mobility (ATEAM) Committee denied the application for registration Tanya Ayim as a psychological assistant and requested additional information be provided prior to registering as a psychological intern. (Yea: Soseh Esmaeili and Stephanie Woodard) Motion Carried Unanimously: 2-0

B. Shanel Harris (PI)

(This item taken out of order.)

Lisa Scurry, Executive Director, stated that the application of Shanel Harris was originally assigned to Board member Dr. Stephanie Holland. As Dr. Holland was not present, Ms. Scurry presented her review.

Review of the application found that the education was conducted fully online which would normally be a disqualifier. Dr. Harris requested an exception based on the recommendations of the American Psychological Association (APA) on dealing with COVID-19 disruptions in training. In the case of Dr. Harris, as a result of the pandemic, the educational program was not able to offer the in-person learning (residency) component. The APA recommended that licensing Boards take these issues into consideration when reviewing certain licensing requirements.

Dr. Holland's written review stated that "case conferences" completed by Dr. Harris could take the place of the practicum. She added that the training supervisor provided a recommendation that Dr. Harris is ready for the internship. Director Scurry stated that it was her impression from Dr. Holland that the applicant should be approved for registration as a psychological intern.

Member Dr. Woodard stated agreement with the recommendation of Dr. Holland's review. She added that Dr. Holland is known for conducting very thorough reviews.

On motion by Soseh Esmaeili, second by Stephanie Woodard, the Application Tracking Equivalency and Mobility (ATEAM) Committee approved the registration Shanel Harris as a psychological intern, stating that her educational program was equivalent to that of an APA-accredited program.

(Yea: Soseh Esmaeili and Stephanie Woodard) Motion Carried Unanimously: 2-0

C. Sharon Simington (PA)

Director Scurry stated that Dr. Simington's application was reviewed and approved previously. This item will be removed from future agendas.

D. Karima Shagaga (Endorsement)

Karima Shagaga's application for licensure by endorsement was reviewed by the Committee. Director Scurry explained that she had been licensed for 4 years in California and a year in Missouri. Dr. Shagaga's application indicated she completed 1,500 internship hours and 2,000 post-doctoral training hours, which would not meet the requirements for licensure. Although NAC 641.080 would allow for a reduction in training hours to 1,500 internship and 1,500 post-doctoral hours, as she did not have at least 5 years of licensure, Dr. Shagaga would not automatically qualify under that provision.

Ms. Scurry provided the Committee with additional information such as completion of continuing education courses. Over the past two years, she completed 36 hours of continuing education in each of the last two biennium.

The application of Dr. Shagaga was assigned to Member Dr. Woodard for thorough review and will be brought back to the September ATEAM Committee meeting.

E. Michael Stein (Endorsement)

Lisa Scurry, Executive Director, explained that Michael Stein has been licensed for more than 5 years in Colorado, a state where the requirements are substantially equivalent to those in Nevada. He attended an APA-accredited educational program and earned at least 1,500 internship and 1,500 post-doctoral training hours. In accordance with NAC 641.080 as he had been licensed for at least 5 years, he was only required to accrue 1,500 internship and 1,500 post-doctoral hours to be license eligible.

On motion by Stephanie Woodard, second by Soseh Esmaeili, the Application Tracking Equivalency and Mobility (ATEAM) Committee recommended forwarding the application for licensure by endorsement of Michael Stein to the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners for approval contingent upon satisfactory completion of all licensure requirements. (Yea: Soseh Esmaeili and Stephanie Woodard) Motion Carried Unanimously: 2-0

6. (For Possible Action) Discussion of Procedures Related to Licensure by Endorsement and the ATEAM Committee; and Possible Action to Propose Revisions to and/or Make Recommendations to the Board of Psychological Examiners of the Procedures.

- A. Process for review of applicants with 20 or more years' experience but who did not attend an APA-accredited educational program
- B. Process for review of applicants with 5 or more years' experience whose license is from a state with substantially equivalent licensure requirements
- C. Review of the State-by-State jurisdiction comparison and the "red light/green light" language when reviewing applicants from different jurisdictions applying for licensure by endorsement.

Lisa Scurry, Executive Director, presented proposed changes to the policy on applications for licensure by endorsement. The changes included:

1. Addition of language that Part-2 of the EPPP (national examination) is not currently required of applicants for licensure by endorsement.
2. Addition of the list of credentials that will expedite the processing of an application (National Register of Health Science Psychologists Credential, American Board of Professional Psychology (ABPP) Credential, Certificate of Professional Qualification (CPQ) in Psychology).

3. Additional of language in the substantially equivalent ("yellow") and non-equivalent ("red") state sections related to when ATEAM Committee review will not be necessary (application has 20 or more years of experience, has one of the credentials listed, and/or meets the supervised training requirements under NAC 641.080.).
4. Removal of language related to veterans and their spouses as a specific category for licensure by endorsement. The language was recently removed from statute following the 2021 session of the Nevada licensure.

There was discussion related to #3 above which would not require "red" state applicants to be reviewed by the ATEAM Committee when they comply with training requirements under NAC 641.080. It was decided that such applicants should continue to come to the Committee for review. Only "yellow" state applicants would be exempt from ATEAM review under those conditions.

Dr. Esmaeili inquired about "double dipping" of training hours and whether that would be reviewed by the Committee. Ms. Scurry responded that when there is a question that submitted training hours were actually completed for an alternative license (e.g. social work, marriage and family therapy), the application will come to the Committee for review. In such cases, the applicant would likely be required to complete hours specific to psychological training.

Dr. Woodard suggested the policy include information regarding communication with the applicant on the status of their application.

Dr. Esmaeili inquired about approval of applicants who have been licensed for 20 or more years and whether or not they are reviewed to ensure they possess a doctoral degree and meet the other qualifications for licensure. Ms. Scurry responded that such applicants must complete an extended application that requests information related to education, training, etc. If any of the requirements are missing, review by the ATEAM Committee or the Board may occur.

Dr. Woodard inquired about foreign applicants and where those procedures are listed. Ms. Scurry stated that there is a regulation under consideration that would mandate a foreign graduate be referred to the National Register of Health Service Psychologists. That process will be defined and described within an alternative policy related to standard applications.

7. (For Possible Action) Discussion of Upcoming Meeting Dates for the ATEAM Committee

- A. The next ATEAM Committee meeting is scheduled for Friday, September 24, 2021 at 8:30 a.m.

There was no discussion or suggested changes to the meeting date or time.

8. Items For Future Discussion. (No discussion among the Committee members will take place on this item.)

9. Public Comment.

There was no public comment at this time.

10. (For Possible Action) Adjournment

There being no further business before the Committee, Executive Director Scurry adjourned the meeting at 6:24 p.m.



**NEVADA STATE
BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS**

Application for Licensure by Endorsement Procedure

Purpose

In accordance with Nevada state law (NRS 641.195), this procedure describes the process by which an individual may apply for licensure by endorsement as a psychologist through the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners ("Board").

Definitions

1. Endorsement. Licensure by endorsement refers to the licensing of an individual who is already licensed to work as a psychologist in another jurisdiction.
2. Jurisdiction, for the purposes of this procedure, refers to the District of Columbia, or a state or territory of the United States.
3. Application Tracking Equivalency and Mobility (ATEAM) Committee is a committee of the Board. The ATEAM seeks to ensure the requirements for licensure in the state of Nevada have been met. Referral to the ATEAM may occur if the applicant's doctoral program was not accredited by the American Psychological Association ("APA") or if an appropriate number of internship or postdoctoral training and/or supervised hours were not achieved.

Procedure

1. Licensure by endorsement is meant to be an expedited application process which takes into account a candidate's licensure in another jurisdiction and the length of time the candidate has been licensed while ensuring the provisions of Nevada laws and regulations have been met.
2. Application
 - a. An application for a license by endorsement as a psychologist in the State of Nevada may be submitted if the applicant:
 - i. Holds a corresponding valid, active and unrestricted license as a psychologist in the District of Columbia or any state or territory of the United States;
 - ii. Possesses qualifications that are substantially similar to the qualifications required for licensure in Nevada; and
 - iii. Satisfies any other applicable requirements under Nevada laws and regulations or policies of the Board.
 - b. An applicant **must** submit, in a manner determined by the Board:

- i. Proof that the applicant satisfies the requirements for licensure in Nevada, including, that the applicant:
1. Holds a doctorate degree in psychology from a graduate program that is accredited by the American Psychological Association (APA) or is an equivalent program. The program must also be regionally accredited.
 2. Has at least 2 years of supervised experience satisfactory to the Board. One year shall be an internship in which the applicant has earned 2,000 supervised training hours; and one year shall be a postdoctoral experience in which the applicant has earned 1,750 supervised training hours.
 - a. If an applicant has been licensed for at least 5 years in another jurisdiction, and has had no disciplinary action or other adverse action taken against them by the regulatory body of another jurisdiction, the 2 years of experience may be reduced to require not less than 1,500 hours in each of the internship and postdoctoral years.
 3. Has obtained a score of 500 or higher on the Examination for Professional Practice in Psychology (EPPP) Part-1. The EPPP Part-2 is not required for applicants for licensure by endorsement.¹
 4. Has passed the Nevada State Examination in Jurisprudence and Ethics in a manner prescribed by the Board.
 5. Holds a license in good standing in another jurisdiction in which the applicant currently holds a license as a psychologist. Proof of such license in good standing must be sent directly to the Board by that jurisdiction and may not be provided by the applicant.
 6. Has not been disciplined or investigated, held civilly or criminally liable for malpractice, had a license to engage in the practice of psychology suspended or revoked, been refused a license to engage in the practice of psychology, and/or does not have any disciplinary action pending concerning their license to engage in the practice of psychology by the corresponding regulatory authority of the District of Columbia

¹ November 13, 2020, the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners mandated that the EPPP Part-2 will be required for all new applicants who are not otherwise licensed as of November 1, 2020.

or any state or territory in which the applicant currently holds or has held a license as a psychologist.

- ii. A complete set of fingerprints for the processing of a criminal background check and written permission authorizing the Board to forward the fingerprints in the manner provided by state law; and
 - iii. Any fees established by the Board for application, licensure, and issuance of a license.
- c. An applicant **may** be required to submit any other information required by the Board, in a manner prescribed by the Board.
3. Application and Review. An application for licensure by endorsement shall be reviewed for compliance with the licensing requirements of the state of Nevada as detailed below. The requirements for licensure in another jurisdiction are subject to change and, as a result, the provisions below are subject to change.
- a. **Substantially Similar Licensure Requirements** (“Green”) refers to a jurisdiction whose qualifications are substantially similar to the qualifications required for issuance of a license in Nevada. This includes, but is not limited to, completion of a pre-doctoral internship with 2,000 training hours; and postdoctoral fellowship with 1,750 training hours for a total of 3,750 hours.
 - i. The application process may include, but not be limited to, submission of:
 1. Verification of character references (3)
 2. Verification of current licensure and, if applicable, any of the following credentials: National Register of Health Science Psychologists Credential, American Board of Professional Psychology (ABPP) Credential, Certificate of Professional Qualification (CPQ) in Psychology
 3. Transcripts (upon request)
 4. Proof of Continuing Education (upon request)
 - ii. Green state applications shall be processed by the Board Office and be subject to the approval of the Board. Green states include Arkansas, Georgia, Hawaii, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, Tennessee (Health Service Provider only), Texas, and Washington DC.

-
- b. **Substantially Equivalent Licensure Requirements** (“Yellow”) refers to a jurisdiction whose qualifications are substantially equivalent to the qualifications required for issuance of a license in Nevada. This includes, but is not limited to, completion of a pre-doctoral internship with 1,500 training hours and a postdoctoral fellowship with 1,500 training hours for a total of 3,000 hours.
- i. Such applicants may utilize the expedited application process that may include, but not be limited to, submission of:
 1. Character Reference Forms
 2. Verification of Current Licensure and, if applicable, any of the following credentials: National Register of Health Science Psychologists Credential, American Board of Professional Psychology (ABPP) Credential, Certificate of Professional Qualification (CPQ) in Psychology
 3. Transcripts (upon request)
 4. Proof of Continuing Education (upon request)
 - ii. Yellow state applications may be subject to the review and recommendations of the ATEAM if the applicant attended a non-APA accredited educational program and/or did not achieve the necessary number of training hours.
 1. A yellow state applicant shall not require review by the ATEAM under the following circumstances:
 - a. Has been licensed for at least 5 years, has had no disciplinary action or other adverse action taken against them, and accrued not less than 1,500 hours in each of the internship and postdoctoral years; and/or
 - b. Has been licensed for at least 20 years; and/or
 - c. Possesses any of the following credentials: National Register of Health Science Psychologists Credential, American Board of Professional Psychology (ABPP) Credential, or Certificate of Professional Qualification (CPQ) in Psychology
 - iii. Yellow states include Alaska, Colorado, Connecticut, Idaho, Iowa, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oklahoma

(Health Service Psychologists only), Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

- c. **Not Substantially Equivalent Licensure Requirements** (“Red”) refers to any jurisdiction whose qualifications are NOT substantially equivalent to the qualifications required for issuance of a license in Nevada.
- i. Such applicants must complete all application requirements and ~~apply through the Psychology Licensure Universal System (PLUS) system of complete verification of credentials through~~ the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB).
 - ii. Red state applications may be subject to the review and recommendations of the ATEAM if the applicant did not complete a doctoral program, the doctoral program was not APA-accredited, or an appropriate number of internship or postdoctoral training hours were not achieved. A red state applicant may not require review by the ATEAM under the following circumstances:
 1. Has been licensed for at least 20 years; and/or
 2. Possesses any of the following credentials: National Register of Health Science Psychologists Credential, American Board of Professional Psychology (ABPP) Credential, or Certificate of Professional Qualification (CPQ) in Psychology.
 - iii. Red states include Alabama, Arizona, California, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, Puerto Rico, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia
- d. The executive director may, at their discretion, refer any applicant to the ATEAM Committee for review.
- ~~4. Application by an active member of, or the spouse of an active member of, the Armed Forces of the United States, a veteran, or the surviving spouse of a veteran. The Board may issue a license by endorsement as a psychologist to an active member of, or the spouse of an active member of, the Armed Forces of the United States, a veteran, or the surviving spouse of a veteran applicant who meets the following requirements:~~
- ~~a. Holds a corresponding valid and unrestricted license as a psychologist in the District of Columbia or any state or territory of the United States.~~
 - ~~b. Submits, in a manner prescribed by the Board:~~

- ~~i.—Proof that the applicant has not been disciplined or investigated, or been held civilly or criminally liable for malpractice, by the corresponding regulatory authority of the District of Columbia or the state or territory of the United States;~~
 - ~~ii.—A complete set of fingerprints and written permission authorizing the Board to forward the fingerprints in the manner provided by state law; and~~
 - ~~iii.—Any fees established by the Board for application, licensure, and issuance of a license.~~
- ~~c.—At any time before making a final decision on an application for a license by endorsement for an active member of, or the spouse of an active member of, the Armed Forces of the United States, a veteran, or the surviving spouse of a veteran applicant, the Board may grant a provisional license authorizing an applicant to practice as a psychologist in accordance with regulations adopted by the Board.~~

5. Communication of Status of Application

- a. The Board, or their designee, shall communicate with the applicant periodically on the status of the application process. Generally, communication shall be by electronic mail or telephone and shall be in a timely manner to minimize delays in the licensure process. This includes decisions and/or requests by the Board or the ATEAM Committee, scheduling of the Nevada State Exam, and the status of the receipt of application materials.

6. Approval of Application

- a. Approval of a license by endorsement as a psychologist shall only be issued pursuant to action of the Board.
- b. The Board shall delegate to the Board Office administrative tasks including receipt and review of the application and associated documents.

7. Denial of Application

- a. The Board may deny an application for licensure by endorsement if:
 - i. The applicant does not meet the requirements for licensure in the State of Nevada (NRS 641.195) and the deficiencies fall outside of what can be reasonably remediated;
 - ii. The applicant completed an exclusively online program;

- iii. The applicant failed to complete any required portion of the application process following appropriate notification to the applicant of one or more deficiencies;
 - iv. There is evidence of fraud or misrepresentation of qualifications; and/or
 - v. The applicant fails to comply with all applicable statutory and regulatory rules related to the practice of psychology in Nevada.
8. Special Accommodations, if any, should be requested of the Board at the time of application. Application for disability accommodations is available from the Board office.
9. This policy and the provisions within shall be reviewed on an annual basis.

Revision History

v1	3/26/2021	Adopted
v2	TBD	Revised