

MINUTES OF A MEETING FOR
NEVADA STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS

DATE OF MEETING: Friday, December 2, 2016

Time: 9:00 a.m.

PLACE: University of Nevada, 4505 S. Maryland Pkwy. System Computing Serv. Rm. 306, Las Vegas, NV 89101
And by video conference to:

University of Nevada, Reno System Computing Services Room 47, Reno, Nevada 89557

1. The meeting was called to order at 9:12am

In Las Vegas:

Michelle Paul, Ph.D., President

Whitney Owens, Psy, D., Member

John Paglini, Psy.D., Member

Others Present:

Michelle Briggs, NV AG Office

Brian Lech, Ph.D.

Brian Reeder

Sam Sands

Chet Lesnick

Lyudmyle Pyankova

Ricky Marquez

Weston Edwards

In Reno:

Sheila Young, Ph.D., Secretary/Treasurer

Yvonne Wood, Ph.D. Member

Patrick Ghezzi, Ph.D., Member

Pam Becker, Member

Others Present:

Morgan Alldredge, Executive Director

Pam Snyder, Executive Assistant

Sarah Bradley, DAG

Eric Nelson

Jennifer Highsmith

Anthony Papa

Lyn Beggs

2. Public Comment

There was no public comment.

3. Approval of minutes of previous meetings

Dr. Owens motioned to approve the Wednesday, November 9, 2016 minutes, Dr. Wood second the motion. Board Vote 7-0-0.

4. Treasurer's report for F/Y 2015-2016, since previous meetings.

The Board checking account is at **\$126,554.72**. Dr. Young reported that our 9 month CD renewed 11/20/2016 at .04%. We made \$15.17 in the previous 9 months investment, the current balance of the CD is \$50,628.84. We continue to gather renewals, at a little slower pace than in previous years. As of last night only 177/473 psychologists have submitted their renewals. All renewals should be postmarked by 12/31/2016 to not be considered late. We are running on budget, just over \$11,000.00 in the month of November. There are no foreseen large charges in the remainder of the year. We will need to make arrangements for the Training as well as paying for the audit. Ms. Becker motioned to approve the Treasurer's Report. Dr. Wood second. Board Vote 7-0-0.

5. Review of complaints, disciplinary actions, and litigation pending.

Ms. Alldredge reported that Dr. Lenkeit, former Board President, is now an official investigator for the Board. He completed training for this position.

- A. **Complaint #15-0317** - Deferred to the next Board meeting. Ms. Bradley still working on the settlement agreement. This should be finalized as soon as the psychologist agrees to the conditions set forth.
- B. **Complaint #15-0928** -Deferred to the next Board meeting. Reviewer not present at meeting. A meeting needs to be scheduled.
- C. **Complaint #15-1123** -Deferred to the next Board meeting. A discussion on how to proceed needs to occur.
- D. **Complaint #16-0115** -This went to hearing, please see Agenda item number 7 for hearing notes.
- E. **Complaint #16-0222** - Deferred to the next Board meeting. Awaiting police investigation results from the Santa Monica Police Department. Ms. Bradley requests to remain on agenda until future notice.
- F. **Complaint #16-0328** -Dr. Paglini, the reviewing Board member updated the Board on the history of the complaint. The original complaint was regarding the release of records. Dr. Paglini sees no issue regarding the record release but there may be some issue in regard to record keeping and client coverage in times of high stress/emergencies. Ms. Bradley recommends that further information may be needed and Board discussion should cease. Dr. Paul recommends that issues

need to be formally addressed by the Board. Ms. Bradley will follow up with Dr. Paglini. Dr. Young motioned to move forward with this complaint Dr. Wood second. Board Vote 6-0-1.

- G. **Complaint #16-0606** - Dr. Paglini, the reviewing Board member, presented the facts to the Board. After detailed review Dr. Paglini recommended dismissal. Dr. Wood motioned to dismiss the complaint. Dr. Owens second. Board Vote 6-0-1.
- H. **Complaint #16-0705** - Ms. Bradley presented the complaint to the Board. The complaint was regarding a Social Security Administration Evaluation. The Board received notice that the SSA evaluations can only be released directly from the Social Security Administration. The psychologist acted appropriately and dismissal was recommended. Dr. Wood motioned to dismiss the complaint. Dr. Young second. Board Vote 7-0-0.
- I. **Complaint #16-0706** - Dr. Young, the reviewing Board member presented the facts to the Board and upon detailed review of the complaint Dr. Young recommended dismissal with a letter written to address appropriate phrasing and terminology when writing letters. Dr. Wood motioned to dismiss. Dr. Ghezzi second. Board Vote 6-0-1.
- J. **Complaint #16-0818** - Deferred until next meeting. Outcome pending.
- K. **Complaint #16-0919** - Deferred until next meeting. Outcome pending.
- L. **Complaint #16-1005** - Deferred until next meeting. Outcome pending.
- M. **Complaint #16-1115** - Deferred until next meeting. Waiting for response.

6. Discussion and Decision regarding Unlicensed Activity

- A. **Update regarding complaint for Injunctive Relief filed against David Hopper in the Eighth Judicial District Court** - Ms. Bradley stated she is still waiting for the decision from the Court of Appeals.
- B. **UL2015001** - Ms. Bradley expects to have by the February 10, 2017 Board meeting.

7. Complaint Hearing: Case No. 16-0115, State of Nevada, Board of Psychological Examiners vs. Jennifer Highsmith, Ph.D., License No. PY0706. Formal disciplinary hearing to determine whether grounds for discipline exist pursuant to NRS Chapter 641 and NAC Chapter 641.

Lynn Biggs, was the Attorney for Jennifer Highsmith and Sarah Bradley, was the DAG for the Board. Ms. Bradley handed out Exhibit Packets to the Board members and gave them time to review. The following is a Stipulation of Facts, from the Complaint and Notice of Hearing, that was included in the handouts:

1. On or about March 20, 2013, The Board Office received an application for licensure from Respondent.
2. In this application, Respondent indicates that she attended the "Cognitive and Brain Sciences Psychology Dept." at UNR.
3. On Respondent's 2013 application for licensure, she checked the box indicating that her graduate education and training was "APA approved."¹
¹ The terms APA-approved and APA-accredited are equivalent and will be used interchangeably in this Stipulation of Facts. Both terms are used on the Board's license application and in NRS and NAC Chapters 641.
4. According to the University of Nevada, Reno and the American Psychological Association (APA), only the Clinical Psychology Ph.D. program in the Department of Psychology at the University of Nevada, Reno is APA-approved.
5. The program attended by Respondent, the Cognitive and Brain Sciences program, is not APA-approved.
7. Pursuant to NRS 641.170(1)(d), licensure as a psychologist in the State of Nevada requires that the applicant earn "a doctorate in psychology from an accredited educational institution deemed equivalent by the Board in both subject matter and extent of training."
8. In NAC 641.050 and/or NAC 641.061, the Board adopted and approved all psychology training programs accredited by the APA.
9. Pursuant to NAC 641.06(1), an applicant for licensure, who has completed a training program not accredited by the APA, must establish to the satisfaction of the Board that the program is equivalent to a program accredited by the APA.
12. Upon information and belief, a clerical and/or ministerial error occurred when reviewing Respondent's application for licensure.
19. Upon information and belief, Respondent was issued license number PY0706 in error.
24. Respondent's transcript from the University of Nevada, Reno indicates that she earned a Doctor of Philosophy degree in "Psychology (Cognitive and Brain Sciences)."
25. In NRS 641.010, the Nevada Legislature declared that "[t]he practice of psychology is a learned profession, affecting public safety, health and welfare and subject to regulation to protect the public from the practice of psychology by unqualified persons and from unprofessional conduct by persons licensed to practice psychology."
26. Further, in NRS 622.080, the Nevada Legislature has mandated that the Board "carry out and enforce the provisions of [its chapter] for the protection

and benefit of the public.”

27. Part of the Board's focus in licensing psychologists is to ensure competence of its licensees. See NRS 641.112; NRS 641.273.
28. A psychologist shall limit his or her practice to the areas in which he or she has acquired competence through education, training, and experience. See NAC 641.208.
30. Respondent advertises and/or holds herself out as a clinical psychologist specializing in neuropsychology.

The following are New Stipulated Facts (Not contained in the Complaint and Notice of Hearing).

31. Respondent received her Ph.D. in psychology from the University of Nevada Reno (UNR), Department of Psychology, Cognitive and Brain Sciences Program in May 2010.
32. In 2011, Respondent applied for and was granted registration as a Psychological Assistant pursuant to NAC641.151.
33. After issuance of the Complaint and Notice of Hearing filed in this matter, the parties agreed in February 2016, that Respondent would submit the transcripts from her Ph.D. program along with course descriptions and/or syllabi for each course for an equivalency review by the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (“ASPPB”).
34. On, or about, May 16, 2016, the results of the ASPPB equivalency review were Received by the Board.
35. The ASPPB equivalency review indicates that three courses did not meet the Requirements of NAC641.061.
36. The ASPPB equivalency review indicates that Respondent's Ph.D. degree is not APA-equivalent.
37. Respondent is agreeable to satisfying the three course deficiency in able to Render her degree APA equivalent.

After the Board discussed and approved the Stipulated Facts, the Board found that Dr. Highsmith violated NRS641.230(f) and voted to assess the following penalty:

1. Respondent is assessed a fine in the amount of One Hundred Dollars and No Cents (\$100), which shall be paid within ninety (90) days from the date of This Order; and
2. The Board may institute collection and recovery actions against Respondent if Respondent fails to pay the fine assessed above within the time given for payment.

The Board also determined how to resolve the issue of Dr. Highsmith's education not Meeting APA standards. In order to establish equivalency of knowledge and training, the Board ordered that:

1. Respondent shall complete 100 in-person CE's regarding neuropsychology During the period of January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2018; and
2. Respondent shall obtain a supervisor approved by the Board to conduct a case/chart review for one year from the date of this Order. This review shall constitute a review of 10% of Respondent's cases or a minimum of one hour, twice per month. Respondent's supervisor shall provide a monthly report to the Board regarding this review.

Upon completion of these terms, the Board will deem Respondent's education approved for licensure as a psychologist pursuant to NRS and NAC Chapters 641 and will close its file with regard to this issue. Dr. Owens motioned to approve the final decision. Dr. Paglini second. Board Vote 5-1-0.

8. Public Hearing to approve regulations R131-15 and R038-16

R131-15 - Dr. Paul clarified that when someone is only teaching psychology or researching they are not required to have a license except when supervision or therapy is involved. No other comment was made. Ms. Becker motioned to adopt this language as written. Dr. Young second. Board Vote 7-0-0.

R038-16 - Dr. Paul had opening remarks stating the Board's mandate to protect the public by ensuring that our licensees are competent and to ensure that competent psychologist's get licensed as expeditiously as possible. Psychology is recognized as a profession and allowed to be self-regulating by the public. The public grants us that authority in exchange for assurance that we license a qualified and competent workforce. The public expects that we abide by the recognized standards of education and training and that we do not compromise in that regard. Accreditation is recognized by federal and state governments as the mechanism to ensure a programs' legitimacy and as a result serve to protect the public. Accreditation requires outside peer review. Accreditation requires evidence from a program that their stated outcomes for student achievement and professional competence are indeed being met.

Accreditation also serves to assure that students' rights are protected through this process.

Doctoral programs in health service psychology that are not accredited have not undergone this vetting process even when their home institutions have received accreditation. Institutional and program accreditation are not equivalent. The American Psychological Association's commission on accreditation is the only agency recognized by the United States Secretary of Education as an accreditor of doctoral internship and postdoctoral programs and health service psychology. The APA released updated standards of accreditation effective January 1, 2017. If it's our job to assure the public a qualified workforce by aligning our state standards with recognized and vetted professional standards, then it follows that we should rely on the APA's accreditation guidelines when defining equivalency. The bulk of R038-16 was written to update our state administrative code in accordance with the APA's accreditation updates in order to provide a clear set of guidelines for those not coming from accredited programs. The Board has listened to and considered carefully public comments made over many meetings in the last 12 months or more and we have discussed and drafted these regulation changes and certainly appreciate the complexity of these issues and in the end I believe that we have acted in service of our primary mandate to protect the public.

Dr. Paul introduced letters submitted by Capella and Walden Universities.

Public Comment was opened:

Dr. Lesnick, from Walden University, shared that he believes Walden University meets the standards of competency. He shared that his student body is predominantly minorities and many are women who are mothers and their Hybrid program allows for their advancement. The wording "substantially on-line" would exclude their university and prohibit their students from being licensed psychologists.

Dr. Anthony Papa from University of Nevada, Reno and a faculty member in the Clinical Psychology Department. He shared that he supports the language of R038-16. He shared that much of the learning is the interactions that occur between faculty and students. He worries about on-line programs being able to socialize psychologists to the field without that interaction.

Dr. Weston Edwards, from Capella University. Wanted to make sure Christian Mull's letter was received. He shared a personal story of growing up in a rural area and shared that rural areas do not have enough providers. He shares his feelings that on-line hybrid institutions are needed in rural areas. Dr. Westin asked for deference on adopting the language.

Dr. Young, Board member states that in our region there is an on-line program that is accredited. She was inquiring as to why Walden and Capella have not pursued accreditation.

Ms. Bradley, DAG, made a comment and was trying to look up the definition of "substantially online". She asked the question, how much is on-line?

Ms. Becker, Board member, expressed appreciation that both of these organizations have been training people. She stated that we have accepted and licensed people from both institutions. She also stated that as a Board we need to be aware that times are changing, more people are deciding to go back to school and pursue different avenues of education and she stated that she realizes there is a place for the Walden's and Capella's. She shared that the Board is giving institutions all the steps to take to ensure the applicant knows what is required to be licensed. She suggests that the institutions let the applicant know what is required to get licensed in Nevada.

Dr. Sands, from Walden University, requesting the definition of "substantially on-line", so that they could meet the standard.

Ms. Bradley summarized the letters that were submitted to the Board:

- The Walden letter addressed Section 4(3d), specifically the language of "substantially or entirely". They are requesting the removal of the word "substantially" to allow for hybrid programs.

- The Capella letter was addressed Section 4(1 and 3d), the date of enactment, and the language "substantially".

After further discussion, Ms. Becker recommends removal of the word "substantially" as they will still have to submit to an evaluation. Dr. Wood motioned that in Section 4(3d) we remove the words "substantially or". Dr. Owens second. Board vote 7-0-0.

Dr. Paul requested a change on the order of Section 4(3e) to read as follows:

1. The history and systems of psychology
2. Affective aspects of behavior
3. Biological aspects of behavior
4. Cognitive aspects of behavior
5. Social aspects of behavior
6. Developmental aspects of behavior across the lifespan
7. Advanced integrative knowledge in scientific psychology
8. Research methods
9. Quantitative methods
10. Psychometrics

Dr. Young made a motion to change the order of Section 4(3e). Dr. Paglini second. Board Vote 7-0-0.

Dr. Young motioned to adopt regulation language with changes. Ms. Becker second. Board Vote 7-0-0.

9. Schedule of future Board meetings, hearings, and workshops.

This was not discussed. Deferred to next Board meeting.

10. Board needs, operations, and schedules.

- A. Discussion and Development of Evaluation committee - There was no discussion at this meeting.
- B. Discussion and Development of Disciplinary Committee - There was no discussion at this meeting.
- C. Response to request by ASPPB for MYM agenda - There was no discussion at this meeting.
- D. Update regarding 2017-2018 license renewal. - There was no discussion at this meeting.

11. 79th Legislative Session

- A. BDRs or Future Legislative actions that may affect the Board. There was no discussion at this meeting.

12. Review/decision upon applications. (NRS 241.030)

PSYCHOLOGISTS/PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSISTANTS/PSYCHOLOGICAL INTERN

- A. Kamaar Abulsaad - No discussion warranted.
- A. Ines Acevedo Lugo - No discussion warranted.
- B. Crystal Allen - No discussion warranted.
- C. Elham Aminigohar - No discussion warranted.
- D. Gera Anderson - No discussion warranted.
- E. Christopher Awosika - No discussion warranted.
- F. Hope Bagley - No discussion warranted.
- G. John Barona - No discussion warranted.
- H. Stephanie Bellusa - No discussion warranted.
- I. Steven Benning - No discussion warranted.
- J. Ashley McCabe Bock - No discussion warranted.
- K. Matthew Boland - No discussion warranted.
- L. Tara Boswell - No discussion warranted.
- M. Adam Bradford - No discussion warranted.
- N. Cory Brown - No discussion warranted.
- O. Sonja Burke - No discussion warranted.
- P. Cynthia Cameron - No discussion warranted.
- Q. Rachel Cappuro - No discussion warranted.
- R. Rita Carlson - No discussion warranted.
- S. Joseph Casciani - No discussion warranted.
- T. Sylvia Chang - No discussion warranted.
- U. Daniel Chatel - No discussion warranted.
- V. Maria Cid - No discussion warranted.
- W. Sunshine Collins - No discussion warranted.
- X. Lara Conrad - No discussion warranted.
- Y. John Crum - No discussion warranted.
- Z. Kristen Culbert - No discussion warranted.
- AA. Allison Deatherage - No discussion warranted.
- BB. Melissa Depa - No discussion warranted.
- CC. Amanda DeVillez - No discussion warranted.
- DD. Margaret Dixon - No discussion warranted.
- EE. Melanie Duckworth - No discussion warranted.

FF. Brent Fladmo - No discussion warranted.
GG. Andrew Freeman - No discussion warranted.
HH. Karesha Gayles - No discussion warranted.
II. Rucsandra Georgescu - No discussion warranted.
JJ. Jared Grant - Motion to approve for licensure contingent upon passing state exam and EPPP. Dr. Wood motioned to approve. Dr. Ghezzi second. Board Vote 6-0-1. Dr. Paul recused as she works with Dr. Grant.
KK. Sandra Gray - No discussion warranted.
LL. Cindy Greenslade - No discussion warranted.
MM. Steve Guevera - Motion to approve for state exam contingent upon file completion and approval. Dr. Wood motioned to approve. Dr. Ghezzi second. Board Vote 7-0-0.
NN. Patricia Hanisee- No discussion warranted.
OO. John Hanson - No discussion warranted.
PP. Mary Harrison - No discussion warranted.
QQ. John Hecker - No discussion warranted.
RR. Bernadette Hinojos - No discussion warranted.
SS. Greg Hirokawa - No discussion warranted.
TT. Rebecca Holczer - No discussion warranted.
UU. Roy Hookham - No discussion warranted.
VV. Yue Huang - No discussion warranted.
WW. Josette Iribarne-Cazcano - No discussion warranted.
XX. Puja Jaitly - No discussion warranted.
YY. Tami Jeffcoat - No discussion warranted.
ZZ. Luke Jensen - No discussion warranted.
AAA. James Jobe - No discussion warranted.
BBB. Sophia Johnson - No discussion warranted.
CCC. Davina Johnston - No discussion warranted.
DDD. Timi Jordison - Motion to approve for temporary license and state exam contingent upon file completion and approval. Dr. Wood motioned to approve. Dr. Owens second. Board Vote 7-0-0.
EEE. Amoreena Juarez - No discussion warranted.
FFF. Johana Kang - No discussion warranted.
GGG. Alexia Kevonian - No discussion warranted.
HHH. Deborah Khoshaba - No discussion warranted.
III. Janet Kraft - No discussion warranted.
JJJ. Andrew Krueger - No discussion warranted.
KKK. Denise LaBelle - No discussion warranted.
LLL. Salvatore Maddi - No discussion warranted.
MMM. Camille Malcherzyk - No discussion warranted.
NNN. Dustin Marsh - No discussion warranted.
OOO. Lynn Marshall - No discussion warranted.
PPP. Wayne McClellan - No discussion warranted.
QQQ. Mark McDonough - No discussion warranted.
RRR. Stephanie McLaughlin - No discussion warranted.
SSS. Claudia Mejia- No discussion warranted.
TTT. Farnaz Mizrahi - No discussion warranted.
UUU. Alexandria Moorer - No discussion warranted.
VVV. Amy Mouanoutoua - No discussion warranted.
WWW. Jason Mouritsen - No discussion warranted.
XXX. Nusha Nouhi - No discussion warranted.
YYY. Yelena Oren - No discussion warranted.
ZZZ. Dorothy Parriott - No discussion warranted.
AAAA. Dylena Pierce - No discussion warranted.
BBBB. Brian Potts - No discussion warranted.
CCCC. Elizabeth Pritchard - No discussion warranted.
DDDD. Mary Pulido-Banner - No discussion warranted.
EEEE. Deborah Purscell - No discussion warranted.
FFFF. Tara Raines - No discussion warranted.
GGGG. Reshma Rampersad - No discussion warranted.
HHHH. Tanisha Ranger - No discussion warranted.
IIII. Jamie Rehmael - No discussion warranted.
JJJJ. Luz Robles-Gonzalez - No discussion warranted.
KKKK. Brian Sackett- No discussion warranted.
LLLL. Samantha Saucedo - No discussion warranted.
MMMM. Jeff Schanowitz - No discussion warranted.
NNNN. Avraham Schweiger - Motion to approve for temporary license and state exam contingent upon file completion and approval. Dr. Wood motioned to approve. Dr. Owens second. Board Vote 7-0-0.
OOOO. Shamecca Scott - No discussion warranted.
PPPP. Halleh Seddighzadeh - No discussion warranted.
QQQQ. Kevin Seid - No discussion warranted.
RRRR. Prachi Sharma - No discussion warranted.
SSSS. Shewta Sharma- No discussion warranted.
TTTT. Leigh Silverton - No discussion warranted.
UUUU. DeAnn Smetana- No discussion warranted.
VVVV. Jordan Soper - No discussion warranted.
WWWW. Richard Starrett - No discussion warranted.
XXXX. Ellie Sternquist- No discussion warranted.
YYYY. Nicole Stoupton - No discussion warranted.
ZZZZ. Marilyn Strada - Motion to approve for temporary license and state exam contingent upon file completion and approval. Dr. Wood motioned to approve. Dr. Owens second. Board Vote 7-0-0.
AAAAA. James Sunbury - No discussion warranted.
BBBBB. Jodi Thomas- No discussion warranted.
CCCCC. Valerie Tolbert- No discussion warranted.
DDDDD. Lennon Tyler - No discussion warranted.

EEEE. Lynelle Tyler - No discussion warranted.
FFFF. Joyce Ulofoshio - No discussion warranted.
GGGG. Michellane Vendivel-Mouton - No discussion warranted.
HHHH. James Wakefield- No discussion warranted.
IIII. Betty Waldheim - No discussion warranted.
JJJJ. Joseph Walloch - No discussion warranted.
KKKK. Allison Werlinger - No discussion warranted.
LLLL. Tela Wilson - No discussion warranted.

BEHAVIOR ANALYST/ASSISTANT BEHAVIOR ANALYST

- a) **Thomas Brown** - Motion to approve for temporary licensure and state exam contingent upon file completion and approval. Dr. Wood motioned to approve. Dr. Young second. Board Vote 7-0-0.
- b) **Rebecca Click** - No discussion warranted.
- c) **Jamie Goodrich** - No discussion warranted.
- d) **Kathryn Mahan** - No discussion warranted.
- e) **Kerry Milyko** - No discussion warranted.
- f) **Sierra Rainwater** - No discussion warranted.
- g) **Stephanie Rasbach** - No discussion warranted.
- h) **Sarah Richling** - No discussion warranted.
- i) **Kimberly Rowley** - No discussion warranted.
- j) **Cynthia Skocypec** - No discussion warranted.
- k) **Amber Suarez-Santiago** - No discussion warranted.

13. Update regarding requested Attorney General Opinion for clarification of NRS 432B.040.

Ms. Bradley introduced the submitted AGO. Dr. Paul provided the opinion on the NPA Listserve to update the profession. Dr. Young motioned to approve and to post on the website. Dr. Wood second. Board Vote 7-0-0.

14. Update regarding changes to NAC 641.

- A. **R128-14** - Approved to go forward to Legislative Commission. Ms. Alldredge needed to correct the required paperwork and missed the past commission.
- B. **R131-15** - See Public Hearing #8
- C. **R038-16** - See Public Hearing #8

15. Discussion and Decision regarding Office Staff salaries

- A. **Discussion and decision regarding Executive Assistant Salary**
There was no discussion at this meeting.

16. Approve for Transition

- A. **Samantha Jasa; CABI to LBA** - Dr. Wood motioned to approve the transition. Dr. Young Second. Board Vote 7-0-0.

17. Correspondence

- A. **Chad Rainey: Request for an Attorney General Opinion for clarification of the practice of Psychology in a corporate forum.**

Ms. Bradley oriented the Board of current request from Dr. Rainey. Ms. Bradley reminded the Board that in April she had provided a memo to answer the questions. Ms. Bradley stated that some of his questions are inappropriate as the Board cannot make generally applicable advisory opinions. The Board can address specific scenarios but they would have to be based on specific facts. The Board may request opinion but the AG may not be able to respond. Dr. Paul discussed that the letter discusses an attachment, no attachment was included. Ms. Becker recommends to be as specific as possible and let him know the need to be specific for further conversation. Ms. Bradley will respond noting Supreme Court Cases and request attachments. Ms. Becker moved to send letter. Dr. Wood second. Board Vote 7-0-0.

- B. **Dorothy Parriott: Request for approval to leave Psychological Assistant application inactive until next summer.**

Dr. Wood motioned to approve the deferral of her advancement until next summer. Dr. Owens second. Board Vote 7-0-0.

18. Public Comment

There was no public comment.

19. Adjournment

Dr. Ghezzi moved to adjourn the meeting at 12:28 with a 5-minute break. Ms. Becker second. Board Vote 6-0-0.