

MINUTES OF A MEETING FOR
NEVADA STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS

DATE OF MEETING: Friday, May 10, 2019 Time: 8:15 a.m.

Grant Sawyer Building, Room 5100, 555 E. Washington Avenue, Las Vegas, NV 89101 and by video conference to Laxalt Building, 401 N. Carson Street, 2nd Floor Chambers, Carson City, NV 89701

1. The meeting was called to order at 8:49 a.m.

In Las Vegas:

Michelle Paul, Ph.D., President
Whitney Owens, Psy.D., Secretary Treasurer
Stephanie Holland, Psy.D., Member

Others present:

Adriana Wechsler Zimring, Ph.D., Nevada Psychological Association
Melissa Depa

In Reno:

Pam Becker, Member
John Krogh, Ph.D., Member
Anthony Papa, Ph.D., Member

Others Present:

Sarah Bradley, Senior Deputy Attorney General
Morgan Gleich, Executive Director
Greg Ott, Deputy Attorney General
Ellen Rahn, Executive Assistant
Hal Taylor

2. Public Comment.

No public comment

3. Review, discuss, and possible approval of minutes from previous meetings: April 19, 2019.

The minutes were presented to the Board by Director Gleich; **Ms. Becker motioned to accept the minutes from the April 19, 2019 meeting as written; Dr. Papa seconded; Board 6-0-0**

4. Review, discuss, and possible approval of Treasurer’s report for F/Y 2019 (July 1, 2018, through June 30, 2019);
Review, discuss and update on a current biennial budget (July 1, 2018, through June 30, 2020).

Dr. Owens updated the Board and clarified questions regarding the budget that were brought up at the last meeting as she was unable to attend. She noted that there were costs that show the budget as being over, but

as they are one-time costs the amount will start to 'even' out. Dr. Owens noted that the Board should remain mindful of spending and aim to keep costs low over the summer.

The Board is 103% of its anticipated income for 2018-2020 fiscal years and within 43% of its anticipated expenses for the same time period.

In a review of the budget it was noted, as we are approaching the halfway mark, that a few categories were budgeted higher than necessary, Director Gleich recommended the movement of budgeted funds from the following: Social Security, Medicare, and Exam Administration, to cover costs in Professional Services, Out of State and In State Travel, Operating Expenses, Printing and Copying, Postage, Telephone and Internet, and Database and Software. With the augmented budget to transfer the funds, it allows the Board to correct the budget to stay in line with the needs of our current spending.

**Dr. Papa motioned to approve the transfer the funds within the budget; Dr. Holland seconded; Board 6-0-0
Dr. Holland motioned to approve the Treasurer's Report; Ms. Becker seconded; Board 6-0-0**

A. Recouping outstanding Legal Fees Owed to the Board from Disciplinary and Unlicensed Practice cases.
Director Gleich reported that she is still waiting on communication from the new Nevada comptroller.

5. Review of consumer complaints pending.

A. Complaint #17-1221

See 7D.

B. Complaint #18-0412

See 7C.

C. Complaint #18-0924A

See 7B.

D. Complaint #18-0924B

Hearing scheduled for June 14, 2019.

E. Complaint #19-1009

Discussion deferred.

F. Complaint #18-1114

See 7A.

G. Complaint #19-0418

Discussion deferred.

H. Complaint #19-0424

Discussion deferred.

I. Complaint #19-0506

Discussion deferred.

Scheduled for 8:20 am

6. Question and Answers with the Board.

There were no attendees to the meeting for these specific questions. It was noted that the agenda item should be moved to the June meeting agenda, and more notification should be provided to the public.

A. Clarifications to the requirements made R074-18 regarding registration and supervision.

- B. Clarification to the requirements made R171-18 regarding continuing education for renewal.
- C. Other topics that may be of interest for the licensees and public.

No discussion.

7. Disciplinary Actions:

A. The Board will discuss, review, and possibly approve a Stipulated Settlement Agreement in Case No. 18-1114 regarding Rory C. Reid, Ph.D.

Ms. Bradley introduced the Board to the facets of this case. The Board was notified by the Nevada Bureau of Insurance that Dr. Reid signed a report as a Psychologist, however he does not hold a license from this Board. Therefore, by signing the report as a Psychologist, Dr. Lenkeit found Dr. Reid to be engaging in unlicensed practice. By that recommendation, Ms. Bradley worked with Dr. Reid, his counsel Mr. Taylor and has presented a settlement agreement for review of the Board.

There was a discussion held regarding Dr. Reid's use of the legally protected term "Psychologist", and how by placing Ph.D. in his signature this may create confusion for the public. Ms. Bradley clarified that Dr. Reid has a Ph.D. and could pursue licensure as a psychologist if he so chooses. While the Board cannot prevent individuals from putting their earned degrees in their signatures, the Board is responsible for regulating the term "Psychologist."

Dr. Paul states that the board wants to ensure that there is no misrepresentation of licensure and to ensure that Mr. Reid is representing himself and his license correctly.

Members discussed bringing this issue to the other Behavioral Health Boards for further clarification surrounding misrepresentation of qualifications.

Dr. Holland motioned to approve the Stipulated Settlement Agreement as written; Dr. Papa seconded; Board 6-0-0

B. The Board will discuss, review, and possibly approve a Stipulated Settlement Agreement in Case No. 18-0924A, Heidi Stark-Bank, Ph.D., License No. PY0641.

Ms. Bradley reminded the Board of aspects of this complaint: Dr. Stark-Bank was the supervisor of record for an individual who never completed the required registration as a psychological assistant. The individual continued to provide services under Dr. Stark-Bank's supervision.

Due to the uniqueness of this complaint, Ms. Bradley and Dr. Young, the investigator of this complaint, aimed to make Dr. Stark-Bank more knowledgeable of the supervision process, through the settlement agreement.

Ms. Bradley presented the settlement agreement to the Board: Requiring Dr. Stark-Bank to take 6 hours of Continuing Education courses specifically in 'post-doctoral' supervision and once completed, she will inform the Board what she has learned. Dr. Stark-Bank will reimburse the Board not more than \$500.00. Dr. Paul questioned specifying 'post-doctoral' supervision; as there are legal and ethical issues regarding supervision, but not 'post-doctoral' supervision specifically. She reminded members of a previous settlement wherein a psychologist was ordered to take Continuing Education courses that were approved by the Board, and specifically focused on supervision.

Dr. Paul suggested striking out 'post-doctoral' from the agreement and in order to ensure the courses are appropriate, she added that Dr. Stark-Bank should inform Director Gleich of the courses she would like to take and obtain approval prior to taking them.

Dr. Paul further noted that the courses should at least be accredited by the American Psychological Association. She concluded with the note that summaries about what a psychologist has learned in prescribed Continuing Education courses should tie to the original complaint and why it was important that they take the courses.

Ms. Bradley asked the Board to approve the settlement as is and will research past courses the Board has previously approved; however, it would be satisfactory for Dr. Stark-Bank to locate American Psychological Association courses on her own.

Dr. Owens motioned to approve the Stipulated Settlement Agreement with necessary changes; Dr. Holland seconded; Board 6-0-0

C. The Board will discuss, review, and possibly approve a Stipulated Settlement Agreement in Case No. 18-0412, Andrew P. Drymalski, Ed.D., License No. PY0387.

Ms. Bradley reminded the Board of the aspects of this complaint: Dr. Lenkeit found that Dr. Drymalski violated the Ethical Code of the American Psychological Association by making inappropriate comments during therapy, as well as contacting the complainant after they ceased treatment with him.

Ms. Bradley presented the settlement agreement to the Board: Dr. Drymalski has agreed to take 10 Continuing Education courses within three (3) months of the order. Dr. Drymalski will reimburse the Board not more than \$750.00. If the Continuing Education courses are not completed within three (3) months, this complaint would come before the Board for additional discussion.

Dr. Owens motioned to approve the Stipulated Settlement Agreement as written; Dr. Krogh seconded; Board 6-0-0

D. The Board will hold a formal disciplinary hearing in Case No. 17-1221 regarding Robert Arthur Allen, Ph.D. After receiving evidence and hearing testimony, the Board may vote to take disciplinary action against Dr. Allen as authorized by NRS Chapter 233B, NRS Chapter 622, NRS Chapter 622A, NRS Chapter 641, and NAC Chapter 641.

Prior to the hearing for Dr. Allen, who was not present, Ms. Bradley provided proof to the Board that she had provided adequate notice to inform Dr. Allen of the date of this hearing, as prescribed in NRS 641.243.

Ms. Bradley requested for Exhibit 1, the original complaint and notice of hearing, to be admitted. Dr. Paul allowed Exhibit 1 to be admitted.

Ms. Bradley requested for Exhibits 2, 7, and 8 to be admitted as they showed that proper notice was posted. Exhibit notice was posted in Placer County local newspaper, where Dr. Allen's last known address is located. As well, as returned certified mail and U.S. Mail from the last known addresses for Dr. Allen. Dr. Paul allowed Exhibits 2, 7, and 8 to be admitted.

Under oath, Director Gleich was questioned about the known addresses for Dr. Allen. Director Gleich answered that yes, she had seen the addresses before. Director Gleich went on to remark that Dr. Allen last renewed his license in 2014 and the address in Roseville was the last address given to the Board office.

As such, Ms. Bradley provided proper notice to this address, by USPS Certified and regular mail. All addresses the Board office was in possession of were sent notices. As there was no response from Dr. Allen, notice was published in the newspaper in Placer County.

Director Gleich noted that as the location of the hearing was changed, from the newspaper posting, a letter was sent to the two (2) known addresses; these letters were accepted and not sent back, but this could have been an accident by the individuals living in former residence of Dr. Allen. Further, notices were posted at the original places the hearing was previously to be held.

Ms. Bradley remarked that if an individual went to the original location, they would have plenty of time to come to the new location as each new location is not far from the former one, and that the correct location was on the meeting agenda, located on the Board's website.

Pursuant under NRS 622.A.350, to have a hearing without Dr. Allen in attendance, there must be proof that diligent efforts were made.

Dr. Krogh wondered if these documents could be sent via email address; however, the Board office did not have an email address on file for Dr. Allen to give Ms. Bradley to send the documents.

This case is atypical in that the Board typically has a working address for licensees as it is a requirement by law to update an address within 30 days with the board office.

Although Dr. Allen's license is currently expired, his license was active during the conduct of this case. Ms. Bradley counseled that it would under the Board's best interest to ensure this hearing occurs in case he reapplies for licensure.

Dr. Papa motioned to accept that Ms. Bradley provided proof of proper legal notice; Dr. Krogh seconded; Board 6-0-0

Ms. Bradley began the hearing, and asked that:

- Exhibit 3 be admitted showing the current status of Dr. Allen's license from the Nevada Board website license lookup;
- Exhibit 4, accusations from the State of California;
- Exhibit 5, the State of California's decision and order;
- and Exhibit 6, showing the current status of Dr. Allen's license from the California Board website.

Dr. Paul allowed Exhibits 3, 4, 5, and 6 to be admitted. Ms. Bradley summarized the allegations against Dr. Allen found to be true by the Board in California. The allegations were that Dr. Allen engaged in a sexual relationship with a patient. After the relationship ended, the patient filed a complaint.

Dr. Allen had until March 1, 2019 to reinstate his license in Nevada, through the licensure reinstatement process. Ms. Bradley counseled the Board to proceed with discipline despite Dr. Allen's license being expired due to the need for this to be in his file in the event that Dr. Allen reapplies for licensure.

Dr. Owens motioned in agreeance that facts 1-49 are true; Ms. Becker seconded; Board 6-0-0

Dr. Owens motioned to accept that Dr. Allen violated the law; Dr. Papa seconded; Board 6-0-0

Ms. Becker motioned to revoke Dr. Allen's license. In order to reapply, Dr. Allen would have to wait a minimum of 5 years from the order. If Dr. Allen does reapply, he must reimburse not more than

\$3,500.00 to the Board and take Continuing Education courses of the Board's choosing. Said application would be vetted just as any other application; therefore, it would be denied; Dr. Krogh seconded; Board 6-0-0

8. Update regarding Board Office Operations

a. Review and update of Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners Strategic Plan

To be reviewed in June.

b. Executive Director Report

1. Summer Projects

Director Gleich informed the Board that Ms. Rahn is developing the Employee Handbook as discussed by Ms. Becker and Director Gleich and is taking over ATEAM duties during the Summer. The Board office is continuing to rid the office of excess paper and organizing to help with moving in the near future.

2. Retention movement

Director Gleich informed the Board that she is in the process of gathering what will be brought to the archives. This includes expired licensure files, and meeting minutes, older than 5 years.

3. UNOFFICIAL codified NAC 641

Director Gleich provided the Board an unofficial codification of the NAC 641. The document was produced by Ms. Rahn to mitigate the potential lengthy delay of the official codification. As well, as to limit confusion, as the approved regulations are being enforced, but it has been difficult for applicants and licensees to find them on the website.

There was a discussion regarding, an 'unofficial' watermark would be confusing to the public, as all of the regulations are official, just the codified version is unofficial. Dr. Paul requested Ms. Bradley and Director Gleich to work on a statement that clarifies any confusion, but to utilize the watermark, as the official codified version may be different.

ACTION ITEM: Add 'unofficial' watermark to document, with clear statement to website to be available to members of the public and licensing community.

9. Schedule of future Board meetings, hearings, and workshops. The Board may discuss and decide future meeting dates, hearing dates, and workshop dates.

June 14, 2019

July 1, 2019

July 12, 2019

August 9, 2019

September 13, 2019

October 11, 2019,

November 8, 2019, and

December 13, 2019.

All meetings will be held via video conference at 9:00 a.m. unless otherwise noted.

The June 14, 2019 Board meeting time: 8:15 am to allow for the Q & A time and will go until 4:30pm.

A public workshop will be held on July 1, 2019 at 5:00 p.m. and on July 12, 2019, during the Board meeting, to discuss the language changes necessary for NAC 641.

10. Board needs, operations, and schedules.

a. Policy regarding applicants seeking licensing examination accommodations. The Board may discuss, review, and possibly approve a policy for applicants seeking licensing examination accommodations.

No discussion.

b. Update/Report from Nevada Psychological Association Representative.

Dr. Zimring informed the Board that the Nevada Psychological Association is aware that things are moving forward with PSYPACT. Dr. Zimring requested that the board appoint a licensed psychologist who has experience with providing clinical care to be the Nevada PSYPACT Commissioner.

She also provided an update on AB285. At this point, the Association is working on an effective response to protect forensic psychologists who work in the courts. The association is looking at the legislation in other states and will keep the Board abreast of what the response will be.

c. Future Board meeting agenda items.

Consider law that would prohibit third party observations and figure out what other states have similar legislation.

Add to Strategic Plan to create PSYPACT educational sheet for licensees.

11. PSYCHOLOGISTS/PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSISTANTS/PSYCHOLOGICAL INTERNS

Irina Abramians - **Dr. Krogh motioned to approve for licensure contingent on passing scores on the State Exam and EPPP; Dr. Holland seconded; Board 6-0-0**

Danielle Agnello - No discussion warranted at this time.

Zyra Alandy-dy - No discussion warranted at this time.

Britney Alford - No discussion warranted at this time.

Carolina Alicea-Morales - **Dr. Krogh motioned to approve for licensure contingent on passing scores on the State Exam and EPPP; Dr. Holland seconded; Board 6-0-0**

Carol Anderson - No discussion warranted at this time.

Julie Armstrong - No discussion warranted at this time.

Lauren Bennett - No discussion warranted at this time.

Natalie Bennett - No discussion warranted at this time.

Monela Beroni - No discussion warranted at this time.

Jeremy Bissram - No discussion warranted at this time.

Amelia K. Black - No discussion warranted at this time.

Michael Browning - No discussion warranted at this time.

Hanna Brunet - No discussion warranted at this time.

Cynthia Cameron -No discussion warranted at this time.

Claudia Capizzi-Gay - No discussion warranted at this time.

Rita Carlson - No discussion warranted at this time.

Leandrea Caver - No discussion warranted at this time.

Lauren Chapple - No discussion warranted at this time.

Sylvia Chang - No discussion warranted at this time.

Rachel Cornelius - No discussion warranted at this time.

Christine Curtis - **Dr. Krogh motioned to approve for licensure contingent on passing scores on the State Exam and EPPP; Dr. Holland seconded; Board 6-0-0**

Sarah Damas - No discussion warranted at this time.

Melissa Depa - No discussion warranted at this time.

Nicole Dionsio - No discussion warranted at this time.

James English - No discussion warranted at this time.

Scott Fidler - No discussion warranted at this time.

Suzana Flores - No discussion warranted at this time.

Daphne Fowler - No discussion warranted at this time.

Donna Greifer - No discussion warranted at this time.

Jennifer Grimes Vawters - No discussion warranted at this time.

Christopher Guthrey - No discussion warranted at this time.

Jennifer Guttman - No discussion warranted at this time.

Lindsey Hailston - No discussion warranted at this time.

Kristin Hambidge - No discussion warranted at this time.

Laurie Harris - No discussion warranted at this time.

Centina Hernandez - No discussion warranted at this time.

Bernadette Hinojos - No discussion warranted at this time.

Lana Holmes - No discussion warranted at this time.

Antrice Hronek - No discussion warranted at this time.

Helena Huckabee - No discussion warranted at this time.

Roy Hookham - **Dr. Owens motioned to close file due to lack of response and not meeting NAC 631.1503 deadline; Dr. Krogh seconded; Board 6-0-0**

Josette Iribarne-Lazcano - No discussion warranted at this time.

Katherine Isaza - **Dr. Krogh motioned to approve for licensure contingent on passing scores on the State Exam and EPPP; Dr. Holland seconded; President Paul recused as she was Dr. Isaza's supervisor; Board 5-0-1**

Helen Jackson - No discussion warranted at this time.

Leah Jacobs - No discussion warranted at this time.

Dasa Jenrusakova - No discussion warranted at this time.

Lidany Jimenez-Viloria - No discussion warranted at this time.

Timi Jordison - No discussion warranted at this time.

Casha Kaufer - No discussion warranted at this time.

Durriyah Khorakiwala - No discussion warranted at this time.

Jason A. Kiss - No discussion warranted at this time.

Shane Kraus - No discussion warranted at this time.

Dorota Krotkiewicz - No discussion warranted at this time.

Laura Krum - **Dr. Owens motioned to close file due to lack of response and not meeting NAC 631.1503 deadline; Dr. Krogh seconded; Board 6-0-0**

Cynthia Lancaster - No discussion warranted at this time.

Melissa LaVan - No discussion warranted at this time.

Crystal Lee - **Dr. Owens motioned to approved for licensure through endorsement contingent on file completion and approval; Dr. Krogh seconded; Board 6-0-0**

Stephanie Lewallen-Owens - **Dr. Owens motioned to close file due to lack of response and not meeting NAC 631.1503 deadline; Dr. Krogh seconded; Board 6-0-0**

Merry Larson - No discussion warranted at this time.

Vanessa Ma - No discussion warranted at this time.

Debbie Maddox - No discussion warranted at this time.

Isra Malik - No discussion warranted at this time.

Camille Malcherzyk - No discussion warranted at this time.

Gayle Mann - **Dr. Owens motioned to approved for licensure through endorsement contingent on file completion and approval; Dr. Krogh seconded; Board 6-0-0**

Candis R. Mitchell - No discussion warranted at this time.

Samuel Montano - No discussion warranted at this time.

Tracy Moore - No discussion warranted at this time.

Michael Moradshahi - No discussion warranted at this time.

Luzviminda Morrow - No discussion warranted at this time.

Amy Mouanoutoua - No discussion warranted at this time.

Jayne Neiman-Kimel - No discussion warranted at this time.

Kelly Nelson - No discussion warranted at this time.

Kellie Nesto - No discussion warranted at this time.

Pak Yan Ngai - No discussion warranted at this time.

Lyle Noisy Hawk Jr. - No discussion warranted at this time.

Michael Pauldine - No discussion warranted at this time.

Dorothy Parriott - No discussion warranted at this time.

Beverly Paschal - No discussion warranted at this time.

Christina Patterson - **Dr. Owens motioned to approved for licensure through endorsement contingent on file completion and approval; Dr. Krogh seconded; Board 6-0-0**

Carolina Perez - No discussion warranted at this time.

Anthony Petruzzello - No discussion warranted at this time.

Erica Phillips - **Dr. Krogh motioned to approve for licensure contingent on passing scores on the State Exam and EPPP; Dr. Holland seconded; Board 6-0-0**

Rachel Pinkerman - No discussion warranted at this time.

Richard Pratt - No discussion warranted at this time.

Elizabeth Pritchard - No discussion warranted at this time.

Ashleigh Rankin - No discussion warranted at this time.

Howard Reid - No discussion warranted at this time.

Samantha Schilling - No discussion warranted at this time.

Dana Schmidt - No discussion warranted at this time.

Michael Scolatti - **Dr. Owens motioned to approved for licensure through endorsement contingent on file completion and approval; Dr. Krogh seconded; Board 6-0-0**

Halleh Seddighzadeh - **Dr. Owens motioned to close file due to lack of response and not meeting NAC 631.1503 deadline; Dr. Krogh seconded; Board 6-0-0**

Christopher Shewbarran - No discussion warranted at this time.

David Shoemaker - No discussion warranted at this time.

Sharon Simington - No discussion warranted at this time.

Cassandra Snipes - No discussion warranted at this time.

Hilary Son - **Dr. Krogh motioned to approve for licensure contingent on passing scores on the State Exam and EPPP; Dr. Holland seconded; Board 6-0-0**

Ralph Turner - No discussion warranted at this time.

Kristine Turner-Sherman - No discussion warranted at this time.

Lennon Tyler - No discussion warranted at this time.

Joyce Ulofoshio - No discussion warranted at this time.

Alexandro Velez - No discussion warranted at this time.

Brent Venters - **Dr. Krogh motioned to approve for licensure contingent on passing scores on the State Exam and EPPP; Dr. Holland seconded; Board 6-0-0**

Michael Villanueva - No discussion warranted at this time.

Lipika Wadhwa - No discussion warranted at this time.

Barbara Wells - No discussion warranted at this time.

Sharon West - No discussion warranted at this time.

Craig Wetterer - No discussion warranted at this time.

Stephen Willis - No discussion warranted at this time.

Jaime Wong - **Dr. Krogh motioned to approve for licensure contingent on passing scores on the State Exam and EPPP; Dr. Holland seconded; Board 6-0-0**

Donald Yorgason - No discussion warranted at this time.

Qingqing Zhu - No discussion warranted at this time.

Kseniya Zhuzha - No discussion warranted at this time.

Michelle Zochowski - No discussion warranted at this time.

Todd Zwahlen - No discussion warranted at this time.

Simon Zysman - No discussion warranted at this time.

12. Update regarding the implementation of the PSYPACT.

The PSYPACT, is an interstate compact, that allows for tele-psychological services, as well as limited in-person practice, between compact states. Nevada was the third state to adopt this compact, in May 2017. The compact becomes effective once seven states have adopted the compact. Georgia passed the compact in April 2019, making it the 7th state to join the compact. With the compact becoming effective, the Commission is now active. Each member state was requested to present a representative to sit on the Commission. The Commission will be responsible for the regulations for the PSYPACT, it was requested that the Commissioner be available for multiple meetings, have knowledge of the state statutes/ regulations as well as the PSYPACT legislation.

a. Electing the Nevada Commissioner to the PSYPACT commission. This person will be responsible for representing the Board and state for the development and enforcement of the compact.

Prior to discussion regarding the commissioner, the Board members were provided a letter of consideration from both Director Gleich and Dr. Gary Lenkeit. Board members discussed issues such as costs to the Board, the time commitment to the commission, and the qualifications of Director Gleich and Dr. Lenkeit.

Ms. Becker motioned to elect Director Gleich as first PSYPACT commissioner; Dr. Krogh seconded; Board 5-0-1 Dr. Owens recused.

Director Gleich recommended commissioner be an elected position voted on the Board along with President, Secretary, and Continuing Education Chair, annually.

ACTION ITEM: Director Gleich will add 'PSYPACT Commissioner' update to her Executive Director Report at each Board meeting.

b. What will Nevada licensees need to do to participate in PSYPACT and expectations of those that participate.

Along with each state adopting the compact, each individual psychologist will need to meet a set of requirements to participate. The Commission will be responsible for finalizing exactly what those expectations will need to be. There is a one sheet information flyer produced by PSYPACT, Director Gleich will place it on the website for current licensees who are interested. Additionally, information will be updated as the commission makes the changes.

13. Update regarding the 80th session of the Nevada Legislature. The Board will receive updates regarding the status of bills and BDRS that will have an effect on the Board and psychological licensing community.

A. Legislative Issues that require top attention from the Board.

SB037 - No update.

AB453 - Director Gleich introduced the Board's bill to the Senate Commission on Labor and Commerce. She will update the Board as soon as she receives more information.

B. Legislative Actions that may affect the Board.

AB070 AB101 AB162 AB274 AB275 AB300 AB313 AB319 AB329 AB357 AB371 SB014

SB063 SB128 SB171 SB183 SB219 SB234 SB245 SB247 SB323 SB388 SB409 SB424

SCR06 BDR22 BDR115 BDR193 BDR309 BDR602 BDR751 BDR848 BDR1123

BDR1150 BDR1221 BDR1222

14. Richard Pratt, Ph.D., Pending Application for Licensure as a Psychologist. The Board will review, discuss, and possibly decide Dr. Pratt's pending licensure application. The Board may grant or deny Dr. Pratt's application or grant licensure to Dr. Pratt under the terms and conditions specified at the meeting. If Dr. Pratt is present at the meeting, the Board may ask questions of him, and Dr. Pratt will have an opportunity to address the Board regarding his licensure application.

Dr. Paul reminded the Board that during a discussion of a settlement agreement, Dr. Pratt impulsively surrendered his license in place of the Board's requests in the agreement, May 2018. Therefore, Dr. Pratt must complete a new application for licensure, and meet the requirements of today. His education was reviewed by the ATEAM and it was noted that Dr. Pratt is missing a year of supervised training.

The ATEAM suggested that Dr. Pratt should take a course in Ethics and 45 hours of Continuing Education courses on treatment intervention. Lastly, Dr. Pratt should pursue registration as a Psychological Assistant in order to obtain the required additional year of supervised practice.

Ms. Bradley noted that while Dr. Pratt's license was not revoked, the Board could take certain statutes into consideration, such as NRS 622.A.410 wherein the Board can deny an application after disciplinary actions occur.

Dr. Owens noted that Dr. Pratt has engaged in unethical behavior in the past. Ms. Becker concurred and added that Dr. Pratt did not take his clients into consideration when the license surrender occurred. Dr. Pratt has been notified that the Board cannot render a decision until the PLUS application has been completed.

As the Board's PLUS Specialist previously emailed Dr. Pratt with the missing components of his PLUS application, Director Gleich noted it might be beneficial to send a letter via regular USPS mail reiterating what is missing in the PLUS application and what the Board spoke about during this meeting. Dr. Paul agreed it would be a good idea and added that it would be helpful to let him know exactly what he needs to do. As there has been miscommunications between Dr. Pratt, the PLUS Specialist, and the Board office, Dr. Paul encouraged Director Gleich to send a letter containing the aforementioned information.

The Board will wait until a completed PLUS application is received from Dr. Pratt, prior to reviewing the ATEAM recommendations, as well as the incomplete settlement agreement.

15. Correspondence.

a. Micaela Garofalo: Are employees of the Department of Corrections, who are licensed, able to complete assessment of inmates for court?

In review, of an email received from Dr. Garofalo requesting clarification if unlicensed psychologists can provide testing and evaluations for the Court. Board members discussed that clarification regarding what assessments are occurring and noted that more information is needed before the Board can decide.

Dr. Paul noted there are a few past Board members and currently licensed psychologists who may have some insight as they work in the Department of Corrections.

Ms. Bradley counseled the Board to respond to this correspondence and ask for more detail about what assessments would be completed and noted that it does not matter where you work, you cannot engage in psychological testing if you are not licensed by this Board. Dr. Krogh volunteered to investigate this further, and Ms. Bradley stated she will reach out to a colleague who worked on a similar issue. Further, the Board office will see if there is any information in past Board Meeting Minutes about similar cases that have come before the Board in the past. This will remain on the agenda until the Board can render a decision.

Action Item: Dr Krogh will follow up with Dr. Garofalo to determine what assessments are requested of the staff at the Department of Corrections from the Court.

16. Public Comment.

No public comment.

17. ADJOURNMENT

Dr. Owens motioned to adjourn at 12:04 P.M.; Dr. Papa seconded; Board 6-0-0