

**PUBLIC NOTICE OF A MEETING FOR  
NEVADA STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS'  
APPLICATION TRACKING EQUIVALENCY AND MOBILITY  
"ATEAM" COMMITTEE**

DATE OF MEETING: Friday, August 27, 2021    Time: 8:30 a.m.

The physical meeting location is the Office of the Board of Psychological Examiners, 4600 Kietzke Lane, Suite B116, Reno, Nevada, 89502. Videoconferencing through Zoom is also available. To participate remotely, individuals are invited to participate in the meeting remotely. On the scheduled day and time, enter the meeting from the Zoom website at: <https://us06web.zoom.us/j/89617254168>. To access the meeting via audio only, dial 1-669-900-6833 and enter the meeting ID: 896 1725 4168.

The Board office recommends that individuals unfamiliar with ZOOM visit the website in advance to familiarize themselves with the format by viewing the online tutorials and reading the FAQs. To learn more about Zoom, go to <https://zoom.us/>.

The Committee will receive public comment via email. Those wishing to make public comment should email their public comments to the Board office at [nbop@govmail.state.nv.us](mailto:nbop@govmail.state.nv.us). Public comments received before and during the meeting will be forwarded to the Board for their consideration. All public comments will be included in the public record (meeting minutes) but will not necessarily be read aloud during the meeting. In compliance with Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 241 (Open Meeting Law), the Committee is precluded from taking action on items raised by public comment which are not already on the agenda.

PLEASE NOTE: The Committee may take items out of order, combine items for consideration, and items may be pulled or removed from the agenda at any time. Public comment will be taken at the beginning and end of the meeting. The public may provide comment on any matter whether or not that matter is a specific topic on the agenda. However, prior to the commencement and conclusion of a contested case or quasi-judicial proceeding that may affect the due process rights of an individual, the Committee may refuse to consider public comment on that item. (NRS 233B.126) Public comment that is willfully disruptive is prohibited, and individuals who willfully disrupt the meeting may be removed from the meeting. (NRS 241.030(5)(b)) The Committee may convene in closed session to consider the character, alleged misconduct, professional competence or physical or mental health of a person (NRS 241.030). Once all items on the agenda are completed, the meeting will adjourn.

**AGENDA**

1. CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL TO DETERMINE THE PRESENCE OF A QUORUM.
2. PUBLIC COMMENT. NOTE: Public comment is welcomed by the Board and may be limited to three minutes per person at the discretion of the Board President. Public comment will be allowed at the beginning and end of the meeting, as noted on the

agenda. The Board President may allow additional time to be given a speaker as time allows and in their sole discretion. Comments will not be restricted based on viewpoint. No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken (NRS 241.020)

3. (For Possible Action) DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO SELECT A COMMITTEE CHAIRPERSON FROM THE CURRENT MEMBERSHIP OF STEPHANIE WOODARD, SOSEH ESMAEILI, AND MONIQUE ABARCA.
4. (For Possible Action) DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE APPROVAL OF THE MEETING MINUTES FROM THE JULY 20, 2021, MEETING OF THE APPLICATION TRACKING EQUIVALENCY AND MOBILITY (ATEAM) COMMITTEE.
5. (For Possible Action) REVIEW AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON APPLICATIONS FOR LICENSURE AS A PSYCHOLOGIST OR REGISTRATION AS A PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSISTANT, INTERN OR TRAINEE WHO ATTENDED A NON-APA ACCREDITED PROGRAM AND/OR ARE AN APPLICANT FOR LICENSURE BY ENDORSEMENT. SEE ATTACHMENT A FOR THE LIST OF APPLICANTS.
  - A. Tanya Ayim (PA)
  - B. Shanel Harris (PI)
  - C. Sharon Simington (PA)
  - D. Karima Shagaga (Endorsement)
  - E. Michael Stein (Endorsement)
6. (For Possible Action) DISCUSSION OF PROCEDURES RELATED TO LICENSURE BY ENDORSEMENT AND THE ATEAM COMMITTEE; AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO PROPOSE REVISIONS TO AND/OR MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS OF THE PROCEDURES.
  - A. Process for review of applicants with 20 or more years' experience but who did not attend an APA-accredited educational program
  - B. Process for review of applicants with 5 or more years' experience whose license is from a state with substantially equivalent licensure requirements
  - C. Review of the State-by-State jurisdiction comparison and the "red light/green light" language when reviewing applicants from different jurisdictions applying for licensure by endorsement.
7. (For Possible Action) DISCUSSION OF UPCOMING MEETING DATES FOR THE ATEAM COMMITTEE
  - A. The next ATEAM Committee meeting is scheduled for Friday, September 24, 2021 at 8:30 a.m.

8. ITEMS FOR FUTURE DISCUSSION. (No discussion among the Committee members will take place on this item.)
9. PUBLIC COMMENT. NOTE: Public comment is welcomed by the Board and may be limited to three minutes per person at the discretion of the Board President. Public comment will be allowed at the beginning and end of the meeting, as noted on the agenda. The Board President may allow additional time to be given a speaker as time allows and in his sole discretion. Comments will not be restricted based on viewpoint. No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken (NRS 241.020)
10. (For Possible Action) ADJOURNMENT

The public body is pleased to make reasonable accommodations for members of the public who are disabled and wish to participate in the meeting. If such arrangements are necessary, please contact the board office at (775) 688-1268 no later than noon on Thursday, August 26, 2021.

For supporting materials, visit the Board's website at <http://psyexam.nv.gov/Board/2021/2021/> or contact Lisa Scurry, Executive Director at the Board office by telephone (775-688-1268), e-mail ([nbop@govmail.state.nv.us](mailto:nbop@govmail.state.nv.us)) or in writing at Board of Psychological Examiners, 4600 Kietzke Lane, Suite B-116, Reno, Nevada 89502.

In accordance with NRS 241.020, this public meeting notice has been properly posted at or before 9 a.m. on Tuesday, August 24, 2021, at the following locations:

- Board office located at 4600 Kietzke Lane, Bldg. B-116, Reno;
- Nevada Public Notice website: <https://notice.nv.gov/>; and
- Board's website at <http://psyexam.nv.gov/Board/2021/2021/>.

In addition, this public meeting notice has been sent to all persons on the Board's meeting notice list, pursuant to NRS 241.020(3)(c).

## ATTACHMENT A

### PSYCHOLOGISTS

Liana Abascal  
Jodi Abramowitz  
Catherine Aisner  
Gera Anderson  
Cherly Ballou  
Jennifer Berg  
Mantsha Boikanyo  
Amanda Borlenghi  
Beth Borosh-Gissane  
Latoya Brogdon  
Jodi Cabrera  
Si Arthur Chen

Roman Dietrich  
Marissa Elpidama  
Dana Gionta  
Kalana Greer  
Lori Haggard  
Lisa Hancock  
Beth Lavin  
Timothy Law  
Karen Lehman  
Jodi Lovejoy  
Melissa Marrapese  
Nnenna Nwanko

Rhea Pobuda  
Maxwell Rappaport  
Anthony Rodriguez  
Karima Shagaga  
Nicole Steiner-Pappalardo  
Vahe Sukiasyan  
LaTanya Takla  
Alisa Turner-Augustyn  
Aaron Van Smith  
Angela Waldrop  
Justine Weber  
Wendy Worrell

### PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSISTANTS

Tawnya Ayim  
Shannon Burns-Darden  
Matthew Damon

Nicole Dionisio  
January Prince  
Shweta Sharma

Gerald Shorty  
Sharon Simington

### PSYCHOLOGICAL INTERNS

Shantay Coleman  
Jessica Conner  
Linda Curtis  
Shanel Harris  
Michael Hobbs

Monica Jackson  
Dorota Krotkiewicz  
Angela Lewis  
Kelly Nelson  
Kellie Nesto

Jeffery Newell  
Barbara Sommer  
Qingqing Zhu

### PSYCHOLOGICAL TRAINEES

Leila Gail  
Erica Marino

Nicky Petersen  
Holly Summers

Charlotte Watley

**STATE OF NEVADA BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS'  
APPLICATION TRACKING EQUIVALENCY AND MOBILITY (ATEAM)  
COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES**

July 20, 2021

**1. Call to order/roll call to determine the presence of a quorum.**

**Call to Order:** The meeting of the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners' Application Tracking Equivalency and Mobility (ATEAM) Committee was called to order by President Dr. Whitney Owens at 5:05 p.m. The physical meeting location was the Office of the Board of Psychological Examiners, 4600 Kietzke Lane, Suite B116, Reno, Nevada, 89502. This meeting was also conducted online via Zoom.

**Roll Call:** Board President Whitney Owens, PsyD, and Members Stephanie Holland, PsyD, and Stephanie Woodard, PsyD, were present.

Also present were Lisa Scurry, Executive Director, and member of the public Tawnya Ayim.

**2. Public Comment**

There was no public comment at this time. Lisa Scurry, Executive Director, stated that no public comment had been received in the Board office via email in advance of the meeting.

**3. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Approval of the Meeting Minutes from the June 22, 2021, Meeting of the Application Tracking Equivalency and Mobility (ATEAM) Committee.**

President Owens requested a change to the minutes. The minutes indicated that Dr. Sharma had requested the Board allow for an equivalency review by the National Register. Dr. Owens stated she believe it was the Board that made that recommendation.

As she was absent from the last meeting, member Stephanie Holland approved the minutes as to form and not content.

**On motion by Stephanie Holland, second by Stephanie Woodard, the Application Tracking Equivalency and Mobility (ATEAM) Committee approved the minutes of the June 22, 2021, meeting of the ATEAM Committee with the stated revision.** (Yea: Whitney Owens, Stephanie Holland, and Stephanie Woodard)  
Motion Carried Unanimously: 3-0

**4. (For Possible Action) DISCUSSION OF APPLICANTS WHO ATTENDED NON-APA ACCREDITED PROGRAMS; AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO PROVIDE DIRECTION TO AN APPLICANT(S) OR MAKE RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS.**

**A. Tawnya Ayim**

Member Dr. Woodard presented the review of Dr. Tawnya Ayim's application for registration as a psychological assistant. Her submitted transcript indicated a master's degree in Educational Psychology and a doctorate in Educational Psychology from UNLV. As the PLUS application had not been received, other needed pieces of information were not available to complete the review. That included the educational groupings and confirmation of the internship.

Dr. Ayim provided some background on her education and internship. She indicated that the internship information in the PLUS report was pending attestation by the supervisor. Dr. Ayim stated she completed a 40-week internship at 45 hours per week, with 2 hours of weekly supervision. She added that there was only occasional group supervision.

There was discussion that the APA recommendation is for 2 hours of individual supervision and 2 hours of group supervision during internship.

Review of this applicant will return to a future meeting.

**B. Shweta Sharma**

President Owens provided an update of Dr. Shweta Sharma's application for licensure. At the June meeting of the Committee, it was decided that Dr. Sharma would need to have her education reviewed by the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (National Register) to determine equivalency with an APA-accredited program. Additionally, there was question about her internship, which was completed in India.

Director Scurry contacted ASPPB to see if any records exist in the previous application file but had not received a response by the time of the meeting. President Owens also expressed concern regarding the number of years since Dr. Sharma had practiced or had any training as a psychologist.

This application will return for further discussion at a future meeting.

**5. (For Possible Action) DISCUSSION OF APPLICANTS FOR LICENSURE BY ENDORSEMENT; AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO PROVIDE DIRECTION TO AN APPLICANT(S) OR MAKE RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS.**

**A. Timothy Law**

Director Scurry presented the application of Dr. Timothy Law. Dr. Law was originally licensed in California in 1975 but the license expired in 1998. In or about 1998, Dr.

Law left the United States to work in Hong Kong until 2012, working in psychiatric services. There was no record of professional employment since 2014.

Member Dr. Holland inquired as to his intentions once he becomes licensed. Ms. Scurry explained that her understanding was that he intends to see patients.

President Owens asked for clarification on whether or not he is currently licensed. As Ms. Scurry confirmed that he was not currently licensed, President Owens suggested Dr. Law's application be treated similar to a license reinstatement from inactive status. That would include confirmation of continuing education courses.

Member Dr. Woodard asked for clarification that he was previously licensed in California for 24 years and Dr. Law has indicated that there no disciplinary issues. Verification had not been received from the California Board but their website did not indicate any past issues, only stating the license was voluntarily canceled or had been expired for at least three years.

There was discussion about whether proof of continuing education courses would be required. Generally, that requirement is made of those who are licensed but, as Dr. Law was not currently licensed, it could not be expected that he would have any continuing education credits.

President Owens suggested the full Board discuss the application and the process to be followed under the presented circumstances.

It was decided that Director Scurry would get additional information such as what his intentions are once licensed, what types of continuing education he has engaged in to maintain competency, etc.

#### **B. Jodi Lovejoy**

Director Scurry explained that the PLUS report for Dr. Lovejoy had not been received by the date of the meeting. Through her application and verbal conversations, Ms. Scurry shared that Dr. Lovejoy attended a non-APA school. Once the report is received, the application will return to the Committee for review.

### **6. (For Possible Action) DISCUSSION OF PROCEDURES RELATED TO LICENSURE BY ENDORSEMENT AND THE ATEAM COMMITTEE; AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO PROPOSE REVISIONS TO AND/OR MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS OF THE PROCEDURES.**

- A. Process for review of applicants with 20 or more years' experience but who did not attend an APA-accredited educational program
- B. Process for review of applicants with 5 or more years' experience whose license is from a state with substantially equivalent licensure requirements

- C. Review of the State-by-State jurisdiction comparison and the “red light/green light” language when reviewing applicants from different jurisdictions applying for licensure by endorsement.

Lisa Scurry, Executive Director, presented proposed revisions to the procedures for applications for licensure by endorsement and the ATEAM Committee. She asked for feedback but suggested no action should be taken until the incoming new members of the Committee also reviewed the documents.

Proposed revisions to the Licensure by Endorsement procedure included adding reference to the EPPP Part-2. Other changes included removing the requirement that “yellow” state applicants who comply with NAC 641.080 and “red” state applicants who comply with NAC 641.080 and/or have 20 years’ experience will not be referred to the ATEAM unless requested by a Board member or the executive director. NAC 641.080 requires that the applicant had been licensed in another jurisdiction for at least 5 years, had completed 1,500 hours of internship and 1,500 hours of post-doctoral experience, and had no complaints in the state(s) of licensure.

Proposed revisions to the ATEAM Committee procedure occurred in the section related to the equivalency review process. The procedure listed three options for applicants to have their information reviewed. One of the options, a review of the program by ASPPB, is not currently available. Ms. Scurry suggested removing the line to prevent any confusion.

The second option in that section would allow an applicant to have their information reviewed by their director of clinical training or another outside party approved by the Board. There was discussion about whether the Committee should continue the practice of allowing such outside review.

Dr. Woodard asked if applicants are informed that they can have their information reviewed by an outside party. President Owens stated that review by an outside party would not take the place of the primary source verification through ASPPB’s PLUS report process.

Dr. Holland stated concern with allowing review by an outside party. She added that it could create unintended consequences as the reviewer may not be qualified or experienced in conducting such a review. President Owens agreed and added that applicants do have a way to appeal the decision of the Committee by going to the full Board. Director Scurry stated that independent review by an outside party could be an option for a candidate whose application is denied.

It was recommended that Director Scurry further review and bring the documents to a future meeting.

President Owens discussed the upcoming training of the new members of the Committee in ATEAM procedures and the important points to review. The training will



include a review of the scope of the ATEAM and discussion on how to review an application.

It was suggested that an addendum be created to the ATEAM procedures to document examples of situations the Committee has addressed with past applicants. Although not every situation would be included in the written procedures, documenting examples could increase consistency in setting undocumented precedents.

**7. (For Possible Action) Discussion of Upcoming Meeting Dates for the ATEAM Committee**

- A. The next ATEAM Committee meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, August 24, 2021, at 5:05 p.m.

As new members will be joining the committee for the August meeting, the date and time is subject to change. Any change will be posted to the Board's website.

**8. Items For Future Discussion.**

There were no suggestions for items for future meetings.

**9. Public Comment.**

There was no public comment at this time.

**10. (For Possible Action) Adjournment**

There being no further business, President Owens adjourned the meeting at 6:18 p.m.



**NEVADA STATE  
BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS**

**Application for Licensure by Endorsement Procedure**

---

**Purpose**

In accordance with Nevada state law (NRS 641.195), this procedure describes the process by which an individual may apply for licensure by endorsement as a psychologist through the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners ("Board").

**Definitions**

1. Endorsement. Licensure by endorsement refers to the licensing of an individual who is already licensed to work as a psychologist in another jurisdiction.
2. Jurisdiction, for the purposes of this procedure, refers to the District of Columbia, or a state or territory of the United States.
3. Application Tracking Equivalency and Mobility (ATEAM) Committee is a committee of the Board. The ATEAM seeks to ensure the requirements for licensure in the state of Nevada have been met. Referral to the ATEAM may occur if the applicant's doctoral program was not accredited by the American Psychological Association ("APA") or if an appropriate number of internship or postdoctoral training and/or supervised hours were not achieved.

**Procedure**

1. Licensure by endorsement is meant to be an expedited application process which takes into account a candidate's licensure in another jurisdiction and the length of time the candidate has been licensed while ensuring the provisions of Nevada laws and regulations have been met.
2. Application
  - a. An application for a license by endorsement as a psychologist in the State of Nevada may be submitted if the applicant:
    - i. Holds a corresponding valid, active and unrestricted license as a psychologist in the District of Columbia or any state or territory of the United States;
    - ii. Possesses qualifications that are substantially similar to the qualifications required for licensure in Nevada; and
    - iii. Satisfies any other applicable requirements under Nevada laws and regulations or policies of the Board.
  - b. An applicant **must** submit, in a manner determined by the Board:

- i. Proof that the applicant satisfies the requirements for licensure in Nevada, including, that the applicant:
1. Holds a doctorate degree in psychology from a graduate program that is accredited by the American Psychological Association (APA) or is an equivalent program. The program must also be regionally accredited.
  2. Has at least 2 years of supervised experience satisfactory to the Board. One year shall be an internship in which the applicant has earned 2,000 supervised training hours; and one year shall be a postdoctoral experience in which the applicant has earned 1,750 supervised training hours.
    - a. If an applicant has been licensed for at least 5 years in another jurisdiction, and has had no disciplinary action or other adverse action taken against them by the regulatory body of another jurisdiction, the 2 years of experience may be reduced to require not less than 1,500 hours in each of the internship and postdoctoral years.
  3. Has obtained a score of 500 or higher on the Examination for Professional Practice in Psychology (EPPP) Part-1. The EPPP Part-2 is not required for applicants for licensure by endorsement.<sup>1</sup>
  4. Has passed the Nevada State Examination in Jurisprudence and Ethics in a manner prescribed by the Board.
  5. Holds a license in good standing in another jurisdiction in which the applicant currently holds a license as a psychologist. Proof of such license in good standing must be sent directly to the Board by that jurisdiction and may not be provided by the applicant.
  6. Has not been disciplined or investigated, held civilly or criminally liable for malpractice, had a license to engage in the practice of psychology suspended or revoked, been refused a license to engage in the practice of psychology, and/or does not have any disciplinary action pending concerning their license to engage in the practice of psychology by the corresponding regulatory authority of the District of Columbia

---

<sup>1</sup> November 13, 2020, the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners mandated that the EPPP Part-2 will be required for all new applicants who are not otherwise licensed as of November 1, 2020.

or any state or territory in which the applicant currently holds or has held a license as a psychologist.

- ii. A complete set of fingerprints for the processing of a criminal background check and written permission authorizing the Board to forward the fingerprints in the manner provided by state law; and
  - iii. Any fees established by the Board for application, licensure, and issuance of a license.
- c. An applicant **may** be required to submit any other information required by the Board, in a manner prescribed by the Board.
3. Application and Review. An application for licensure by endorsement shall be reviewed for compliance with the licensing requirements of the state of Nevada as detailed below. The requirements for licensure in another jurisdiction are subject to change and, as a result, the provisions below are subject to change.
- a. **Substantially Similar Licensure Requirements** (“Green”) refers to a jurisdiction whose qualifications are substantially similar to the qualifications required for issuance of a license in Nevada. This includes, but is not limited to, completion of a pre-doctoral internship with 2,000 training hours; and postdoctoral fellowship with 1,750 training hours for a total of 3,750 hours.
    - i. The application process may include, but not be limited to, submission of:
      1. Verification of character references (3)
      2. Verification of current licensure and, if applicable, any of the following credentials: National Register of Health Science Psychologists Credential, American Board of Professional Psychology (ABPP) Credential, Certificate of Professional Qualification (CPQ) in Psychology
      3. Transcripts (upon request)
      4. Proof of Continuing Education (upon request)
    - ii. Green state applications shall be processed by the Board Office and be subject to the approval of the Board. Green states include Arkansas, Georgia, Hawaii, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, Tennessee (Health Service Provider only), Texas, and Washington DC.

- b. **Substantially Equivalent Licensure Requirements** (“Yellow”) refers to a jurisdiction whose qualifications are substantially equivalent to the qualifications required for issuance of a license in Nevada. This includes, but is not limited to, completion of a pre-doctoral internship with 1,500 training hours and a postdoctoral fellowship with 1,500 training hours for a total of 3,000 hours.
- i. Such applicants may utilize the expedited application process that may include, but not be limited to, submission of:
    1. Character Reference Forms
    2. Verification of Current Licensure and, if applicable, any of the following credentials: National Register of Health Science Psychologists Credential, American Board of Professional Psychology (ABPP) Credential, Certificate of Professional Qualification (CPQ) in Psychology
    3. Transcripts (upon request)
    4. Proof of Continuing Education (upon request)
  - ii. Yellow state applications may be subject to the review and recommendations of the ATEAM if the applicant attended a non-APA accredited educational program and/or did not achieve the necessary number of training hours.
    1. A yellow state applicant shall not require review by the ATEAM under the following circumstances:
      - a. Has been licensed for at least 5 years, has had no disciplinary action or other adverse action taken against them, and accrued not less than 1,500 hours in each of the internship and postdoctoral years; and/or
      - b. Has been licensed for at least 20 years; and/or
      - c. Possesses any of the following credentials: National Register of Health Science Psychologists Credential, American Board of Professional Psychology (ABPP) Credential, or Certificate of Professional Qualification (CPQ) in Psychology
  - iii. Yellow states include Alaska, Colorado, Connecticut, Idaho, Iowa, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oklahoma

(Health Service Psychologists only), Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

- c. **Not Substantially Equivalent Licensure Requirements** (“Red”) refers to any jurisdiction whose qualifications are NOT substantially equivalent to the qualifications required for issuance of a license in Nevada.
- i. Such applicants must complete all application requirements and ~~apply through the Psychology Licensure Universal System (PLUS) system of complete verification of credentials through~~ the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB).
  - ii. Red state applications may be subject to the review and recommendations of the ATEAM if the applicant did not complete a doctoral program, the doctoral program was not APA-accredited, or an appropriate number of internship or postdoctoral training hours were not achieved. A red state applicant may not require review by the ATEAM under the following circumstances:
    1. Has been licensed for at least 5 years, has had no disciplinary action or other adverse action taken against them, and accrued not less than 1,500 hours in each of the internship and postdoctoral years; and/or
    2. Has been licensed for at least 20 years; and/or
    3. Possesses any of the following credentials: National Register of Health Science Psychologists Credential, American Board of Professional Psychology (ABPP) Credential, or Certificate of Professional Qualification (CPQ) in Psychology.
  - iii. Red states include Alabama, Arizona, California, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, Puerto Rico, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia
- d. The executive director may, at their discretion, refer any applicant to the ATEAM Committee for review.
- ~~4. Application by an active member of, or the spouse of an active member of, the Armed Forces of the United States, a veteran, or the surviving spouse of a veteran. The Board may issue a license by endorsement as a psychologist to an active member of, or the spouse of an active member of, the Armed Forces of the United States, a veteran, or the surviving spouse of a veteran applicant who meets the following requirements:~~

- 
- ~~a. Holds a corresponding valid and unrestricted license as a psychologist in the District of Columbia or any state or territory of the United States.~~
- ~~b. Submits, in a manner prescribed by the Board:~~
- ~~i. Proof that the applicant has not been disciplined or investigated, or been held civilly or criminally liable for malpractice, by the corresponding regulatory authority of the District of Columbia or the state or territory of the United States;~~
  - ~~ii. A complete set of fingerprints and written permission authorizing the Board to forward the fingerprints in the manner provided by state law; and~~
  - ~~iii. Any fees established by the Board for application, licensure, and issuance of a license.~~
- ~~c. At any time before making a final decision on an application for a license by endorsement for an active member of, or the spouse of an active member of, the Armed Forces of the United States, a veteran, or the surviving spouse of a veteran applicant, the Board may grant a provisional license authorizing an applicant to practice as a psychologist in accordance with regulations adopted by the Board.~~
5. Approval of Application
- a. Approval of a license by endorsement as a psychologist shall only be issued pursuant to action of the Board.
  - b. The Board shall delegate to the Board Office administrative tasks including receipt and review of the application and associated documents.
6. Denial of Application
- a. The ~~Committee Board~~ may recommend denial of ~~may deny~~ an application for licensure by endorsement if:
    - i. The applicant does not meet the requirements for licensure in the State of Nevada (NRS 641.195) and the deficiencies fall outside of what can be reasonably remediated;
    - ii. The applicant completed an exclusively online program;
    - iii. The applicant failed to complete any required portion of the application process following appropriate notification to the applicant of one or more deficiencies;

- iv. There is evidence of fraud or misrepresentation of qualifications; and/or
  - v. The applicant fails to comply with all applicable statutory and regulatory rules related to the practice of psychology in Nevada.
7. Special Accommodations, if any, should be requested of the Board at the time of application. Application for disability accommodations is available from the Board office.
8. This policy and the provisions within shall be reviewed on an annual basis.

**Revision History**

v1	3/26/2021	Adopted
v2	TBD	Revised