

**PUBLIC NOTICE OF A MEETING FOR
STATE OF NEVADA BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINERS
MEETING MINUTES**

September 13, 2024

1. Call To Order/Roll Call to Determine the Presence of a Quorum.

The meeting of the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners was called to order by President Lorraine Benuto, PhD, at 8:02 a.m. on September 13, 2024, online via "Zoom" and physically at the office of the Board of Psychological Examiners, 3080 S. Durango Drive, Suite 102, Las Vegas, Nevada 89117.

Roll Call: Board President, Lorraine Benuto, Ph.D., Secretary/Treasurer Stephanie Woodard, Psy.D., and members Stephanie Holland, Psy.D. and Catherine Pearson, Ph.D., were present at roll call. Members Monique Abarca and Soseh Esmaeili, Psy.D. were absent. There was a quorum of the Board members.

Also present were Deputy Attorney General (DAG) Harry Ward; Board Investigators Dr. Gary Lenkeit, Dr. Sheila Young, and Dr. Whitney Owens; Executive Director Laura Arnold; Administrative Director Sarah Restori; members of the public: Kaylor Cladwell, Donald Hoier, Tina Jimenez, Mary Marcu, Claudia Mejia, Christine Moninghoff, Vanessa Murphy, Kaitlin Newberg, Kelly Robertson, and Andre Wielemaker.

2. Public Comment. The Board wants to remind those who participate in public comment that you are limited to three minutes per person, and that public comment is reserved for comment only. It will not be used as a platform for questions and answers. If you have a statement that is longer than three minutes, please submit your statement in writing and the Board will include it in the written materials that are posted. If you have questions for which you would like answers, please email the Board office at nbop@govmail.state.nv.us.

DAG Ward shared information regarding public comment. He informed under the Open Meeting Law, members of the public may give public comment on any topic at the beginning or end of the meeting. He went on to state, if someone is here to comment on a pending complaint matter, he requested not making a public comment. He stated if someone is a complainant or a witness, he requests not sharing public comment on a pending matter as it can taint the Board members. He went on to state that everything during the meetings is recorded, so what is said during public comment can be used against you on a deposition or a hearing. He recommended that complainants on a pending matter contact the Executive Director and she will provide them with DAG Ward's contact information and information can be discussed privately outside of open meetings.

Andre Wielemaker shared public comment about the EPPP Part 2. He first thanked the Board for being granted a fourth attempt at taking the EPPP Part 2. He informed he is a licensed clinical psychologist in Tennessee and he had plans to move to Nevada, and he's been unable to pass this test. He questioned why the date of November 1, 2020 was put into place as a requirement for those who are applying for licensure, and why Nevada chose to require this test now as Arizona removed the requirement. He understands all states may require it, but it's ultimately a barrier.

3. (For Possible Action) Workshop to Solicit Comments on a Proposed Regulation (See Public Notice – Attachment A); and Possible Action to Forward the Proposed Regulation to a Hearing at a Future Meeting of the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners in Accordance with NRS Chapter 233B.

Dr. Benuto informed that during its August 9, 2024, meeting, the Board conducted a regulation workshop on the proposed version of NAC 641.120 (National Examination regulation) to resurrect it in that version from the version that had previously been repealed. While the Board approved moving the proposed regulation to a hearing, subsequent public comment from Dr. Michelle Paul addressed a concern about the proposed regulation language having unintended consequences based upon the distinction between when the EPPP1 and the EPPP2 can be taken. Concerned that the proposed regulation, as drafted, could cause confusion regarding and the unintended consequences Dr. Paul addressed, the executive director further revised the regulation and sought input from Dr. Paul.

Dr. Benuto went on to state, based on the executive director's revision and Dr. Paul's additional input, the revised proposed regulation is again before the Board for a workshop to confirm the regulation language that will move forward to a hearing. On August 28, 2024, the Regulation Workshop was properly and timely noticed for the September 13, 2024, meeting. The revised proposed version of NAC 641.120 is provided for public comment and for the Board to approve submitting the regulation to the LCB for a regulation draft so that it can move forward to a Regulation Hearing.

There was no public comment on the proposed regulation.

On motion by Stephanie Holland, second by Stephanie Woodard, the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners approved moving the regulation to a regulation hearing at a future Board meeting. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Catherine Pearson, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 4-0.

4. Minutes. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Approval of the Minutes of the State of Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners' August 9, 2024, Meeting.

There were no comments or changes suggested for the minutes of the August 9, 2024, meeting.

On motion by Catherine Pearson, second by Stephanie Woodard, the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners approved the meeting minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Board held on August 9, 2024. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Catherine Pearson, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 4-0.

5. Financials

5A. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action to Approve the Treasurer's Report for Fiscal Year 2025 (July 1, 2024, through June 30, 2025).

The Executive Director presented the Treasurer's Report. She informed as of August 31, 2024, the checking account balance was \$176,431.19. That balance will soon begin increasing as the Board has entered renewals and those fees will be allocated to the four 2025-2026 biennium quarters. She stated the Board is in the first half of FY2025 and in the fourth 2023-2024 biennium quarter. For the first half of the fiscal year/fourth biennium quarter, the Board is currently operating on the \$80,730.33 in net deferred revenue that was distributed to the fourth biennium quarter from the 2023-2024 biennium renewals, and the approximately \$38,300 from the other deferred revenue distributions that were allocated to this 4th biennium quarter and first half of the new fiscal year, those being late renewals, new licensures, and registrations. The Board has received more than \$3,700 for those 4th quarter registration and licensure fees, which is 208% of what was projected. She stated the savings account balance, which is the Board's reserve account, was \$105,098.05

The Executive Director went on to state with the end of August being the second month of FY2025, the Board is just under 16% of budgeted expenditures and a little over 38% of expected revenue, most of that being from the deferred revenue allocated to this biennium quarter. She noted she will be making a small adjustment to the print-copy expense at a later time.

The Executive Director further informed that the Board office opened the renewal period at the end of August and have begun receiving that renewal income, which is noted in the second half of FY2025, and the \$1,535 number is ¼ of what they have received so far in renewals, that being the amount that is being cast forward for each of the 2025-2026 biennium quarters.

The Executive Director stated that the Board's bookkeeper, Michelle Fox, had verified and validated the information being provided in the Treasurer's report.

On motion by Stephanie Holland, second by Catherine Pearson, the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners approved the Treasurer's Report for Fiscal Year 2025. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Catherine Pearson, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 4-0.

- 5B.** For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action to designate Board Members and/or Staff to Attend the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB) annual meeting, October 30-November 3, 2024, in Dallas, Texas for a combined total expenditure of approximately \$3,250.

The Executive Director informed she reached out to Board members to determine who wanted to attend the ASPPB Conference in October. She registered herself and Dr. Woodard prior to this meeting to take advantage of early bird discounts. She requested retroactive approval for herself and Dr. Woodard to attend the ASPPB annual meeting in Dallas.

On motion by Catherine Pearson, second by Stephanie Holland, the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners approved sending Stephanie Woodard and Laura Arnold to the ASPPB Conference from October 30 to November 3 for a total expense of approximately \$3,250. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Catherine Pearson, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 4-0.

6. Legislative/Regulation Update

The Executive Director shared regulation and legislation updates. She informed she will be attending the Legislative Commission meeting later that afternoon and expects the Board's pending regulations to be approved and become official. She went on to state she is currently monitoring 92 Bill Draft Requests. She believes there are about 12 that appear specific to psychology.

The Executive Director informed that the Joint Interim Standing Committee approved moving forward with drafting a BDR that would establish a Behavioral Health Board and advisory committees that would consolidate Nevada's behavioral health boards, which includes the psychology board.

7. Board Needs and Operations

- a. Report from the Nevada Psychological Association.

There were no updates from the Nevada Psychological Association.

- b. Report From the Board Office on Operations.

The Administrative Director presented the Board's office statistic spreadsheet. She shared that in August 2024, the Board licensed 7 new psychologists, and noted they had relatively high activity in licensure applications, psychological assistant registrations, and state exams administered. As of September 6, the Board had 730 active licensees and 142 active applications for licensure. They also opened the license renewal period in late August and have received a total of 23 renewals under the categories noted under Renewals. She went on to share, as for those the Board registers, the psychological assistants, psychological interns, and psychological trainees, there were a total of 74 that are registered and 32 active applications.

The Executive Director presented information she's gathered on applicant and licensee data. The first data picture showed the percentage of applicants based on their application type. The next graphic she presented showed the time in months for application to licensure with data starting from January 1, 2020, and informed the data shows an average of 6.84 months to licensure. She informed that if the applicant immediately provides all requested information to the Board, the fastest an applicant can get licensed is about 6-8 weeks as this is how long it takes for the Department of Public Safety to process background checks. She informed that she with the Administrative Director are working to decrease the time to licensure below the 5-month mark.

Lastly, the Executive Director presented a data picture on application results. She informed that since January 1, 2020, 72% of those who applied were licensed. Of the 28% that were not licensed, 80% didn't complete their application, 11% withdrew their application, and 9% of the applications were denied. She stated that she and the Administrative Director continue implement proactive strategies to improve licensure data. She will continue to add to the data to show comparisons from year to year.

Dr. Owens shared that while 6-8 weeks can sound like a long time, some of those elements are out of the Board's control. She informed that for elements that are in the Board's control, the Board has worked to reduce barriers over the past four years.

Dr. Woodard added that it is important to recognize the difference between those that are licensed by endorsement and those that have to go through the full application process. She also wanted to note that the time in months is not necessarily reflective of the time it takes the Board to review the applications, but more reflective of how long it takes applicants to gather the requested information for the Board to review.

The Executive Director does believe that these numbers will go down in time as it applies to licensure, as there was a period in 2020 and 2022 when the Board office was not adequately staffed.

8. (For Possible Action) Review and Possible Action on Applications for Licensure as a Psychologist or Registration as a Psychological Assistant,

Intern or Trainee. The Board May Convene in Closed Session to Receive Information Regarding Applicants, Which May Involve Considering the Character, Alleged Misconduct, Professional Competence or Physical or Mental Health of the Applicant (NRS 241.030). All Deliberation and Action Will Occur in an Open Session.

The following applicants are recommended for approval of licensure contingent upon completion of licensure requirements: Anneli Riisma, Liya Levanda, Thuy-Phuong Do, Jorge Juarez-Asturias, David Rowe, Katherine Stypulkowski, Lisa Talerico, Sylva Frock, Nathan Harris, Heather Thompson, Linda McWhorter, Robin Kay, and Stephanie Procell.

On motion by Catherine Pearson, second by Stephanie Woodard, the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners approved the following applicants for licensure contingent upon completion of licensure requirements: Anneli Riisma, Liya Levanda, Thuy-Phuong Do, Jorge Juarez-Asturias, David Rowe, Katherine Stypulkowski, Lisa Talerico, Sylva Frock, Nathan Harris, Heather Thompson, Linda McWhorter, Robin Kay, and Stephanie Procell. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Catherine Pearson, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 4-0.

- (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action to Approve Dr. Tina Jimenez's application to retake the EPPP-2 a fourth time.

President Dr. Benuto informed that Dr. Tina Jimenez, who is an applicant for licensure, has applied to retake the EPPP-2 a fourth time. She has taken and passed the EPPP-1 and the Nevada State Exam. She has technically taken the EPPP-2 in May, July, and August 2024 and did not receive a passing score on those attempts.

She went on to state there was a documented irregularity in Dr. Jimenez's first EPPP-2 attempt, which is more fully explained in the meeting materials provided to the Board. Due to that irregularity, ASPPB representatives offered for her to retake the exam at no charge. Dr. Jimenez had requested that the ASPPB remove that first attempt from the record in Certemy due to the irregularity that had occurred. In response, ASPPB representatives stated that they had no way to remove the score from Certemy, and that it would be up to the Board to determine whether it would count the first attempt. ASPPB representatives also stated that if the need arises for Dr. Jimenez to take the exam more than four times, they have made exceptions in the past if there is an available exam form that Dr. Jimenez has not seen.

Dr. Benuto stated based on what occurred with her first EPPP-2 exam, Dr. Jimenez requested that the Board not count the first exam attempt, consider the next attempt to be her third attempt, and to permit her to take it again after that if the next attempt is not successful and if the ASPPB has an exam that she has not seen. Dr. Jimenez's application to retake the EPPP-2 includes her statements of how she intends to

approach her study schedule, study program, practice tests, coaching, study groups, and areas of focus.

Dr. Benuto informed she finds this a reasonable request.

On motion by Stephanie Holland, second by Stephanie Woodard, the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners approved the request to retake the EPPP-2, not counting Dr. Tina Jimenez first EPPP-2 attempt, and permitting her to retake it again if the next attempt is not successful. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Catherine Pearson, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 4-0.

9. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action on Pending Consumer Complaints:

A. Complaint #19-0626

DAG Ward informed the Board has approved a contract for a hearing officer. The contract has been signed and they are just waiting for the Board of Examiners approval and from there they will proceed with the case.

B. Complaint #23-0612

DAG Ward stated this matter is in response to a cease-and-desist letter DAG Ward sent out regarding problematic language. It has been confirmed that the problematic language has been removed and DAG Ward recommended dismissal of this case.

On motion by Catherine Pearson, second by Stephanie Holland, the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners approved dismissing Complaint #23-0612. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Catherine Pearson, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 4-0.

C. Complaint #23-0801

DAG Ward informed they were monitoring the problematic language online, and respondent has complied and removed language. DAG Ward recommended dismissal of this case.

On motion by Stephanie Woodard, second by Catherine Pearson, the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners approved dismissing Complaint #23-0801. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Catherine Pearson, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 4-0.

D. Complaint #23-0918

DAG Ward informed that two investigators and DAG Ward have reviewed two large boxes of documents regarding this complaint. A complaint has been drafted and reviewed and they are in the process of filing a notice of hearing and hoping to have this filed in the next coming weeks.

E. Complaint #24-0103

DAG Ward informed the Board has approved a contract for a hearing officer. The contract has been signed and they are just waiting for the Board of Examiners approval and from there they will proceed with the case.

F. Complaint #24-0312(1)

DAG Ward informed this matter has been referred to the MFT Board and he is in the process of preparing a cease-and-desist letter. The New York and the California Boards will be copied on the cease-and-desist letter with the possibility of contacting the Department of Justice for wire fraud. This matter is still ongoing.

G. Complaint #24-0312(2)

DAG Ward informed he finalized the complaint and notice of hearing. A notice of hearing and a complaint will be filed shortly.

H. Complaint #24-0313

DAG Ward informed that the investigator had contacted the complainant for additional information, but the complainant had not responded. Due to there being no response, DAG Ward and the investigators agreed the matter should be dismissed. DAG Ward informed if the complainant came back, they could reopen the complaint. But since the complainant is not cooperating or communicating, he recommended it be dismissed.

On motion by Stephanie Holland, second by Catherine Pearson, the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners approved dismissing Complaint #24-0313. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Catherine Pearson, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 4-0.

I. Complaint #24-0605

DAG Ward informed this complaint was received regarding misrepresentation of credentials and practicing without a license. The Board investigators have requested additional information from the complainant and are continuing to review additional information and the investigation is still ongoing. DAG Ward noted that his interpretation of the regulations and statues allows them to provide cease-and-desist letters to individuals that misrepresent themselves as this deceives the public.

J. Complaint #24-0607

DAG Ward informed this was a self-report from a licensee regarding two misdemeanor convictions. The Board is in the process of discussing the matter with the respondent and the respondent does have an attorney. It is his understanding that the respondent's attorney has informed the Board he is in the process of going back and asking that the two misdemeanor convictions be reopened. They will continue to monitor this case.

K. Complaints #24-0711

#24-0719

#24-0726

#24-0823

DAG Ward informed these four complaints are against the same psychologist. He reminded that witnesses sharing public comment on this matter can hurt the prosecution. All complaints were forwarded to the Office of Inspector General which were forwarded back to the Board informing they do not have jurisdiction in reference to this matter. The Board has also notified the Federal Agency the respondent has been working with. They are still in the process of communicating with respondent's counsel in regard to making a response. He reminded if someone is a witness or complainant, they can bring their concerns the Executive Director and they can discuss this with DAG Ward outside of Open Meeting.

L. Complaint #24-0730

DAG Ward informed the investigator sent out a recommendation to prepare a cease-and-desist letter to be issued in this matter. DAG Ward is in the process of preparing a cease-and-desist letter.

M. Complaint #24-0822

DAG Ward informed this was received and forwarded to an investigator for review. Per the investigator's request, the complaint was forwarded to the respondent for a response which is due for a response by September 30, 2024.

N. Complaint #24-0829

DAG Ward informed this was received and forwarded to an investigator for review. The investigator has requested documentation to support the allegations made by the complainant. The complainant is in the process of gathering the requested information.

O. Complaint #24-0903

DAG Ward informed this was received and forwarded to an investigator for review. He is in the process of preparing a cease-and-desist letter for this matter.

10. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action on Soliciting and Developing a List of Licensees to Provide Disciplinary Supervision.

Dr. Young shared that she wanted to formalize the process of Disciplinary Supervision. She stated that currently in the Board policy, those individuals are required to find their own supervisor on their own and this has been difficult. She believes a more formal approach to disciplinary supervision protects the public. She noted the supervisor is paid by the supervisee and is agreed upon in advance. She also stated the Board would provide training to supervisors.

Dr. Lenkeit informed the Board needs to start by getting a list of licensees that are willing to provide disciplinary supervision.

Dr. Owens clarified that the type of supervision that is done during disciplinary supervision is different than other types of supervision and having specialized training in this specifically is important.

The Executive Director addressed Dr. Benuto's question regarding the process for moving forward by explaining it will be a tiered process of establishing a list of licensees interested in providing disciplinary supervision, preparing forms for use in disciplinary supervision, and providing training. The recommendation was to begin by approving establishing a list of interested licensees and preparing the information and forms for the disciplinary supervision process, and come back to the Board when there is a plan for training disciplinary supervisors.

Dr. Holland and Dr. Woodard expressed their support towards developing a formal process and list of disciplinary supervisors.

On motion by Stephanie Woodard, second by Stephanie Holland, the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners approved sending an email to the Board's licensees to develop a list of disciplinary supervisors and for the Board office to prepare the related information and forms . (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Catherine Pearson, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 4-0.

11. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action on the Inclusion of Continuing Professional Development in Continuing Education Requirements.

President Dr. Benuto shared that during its June 7, 2024, meeting, the Board approved beginning a process of including Continuing Professional Development in continuing education requirements. The proposal was to shift into a model that would provide more allowance for additional credits obtained by licensees that would include a range of activities and would also reduce the financial burden on the licensees to obtain CE credits.

She went on to share that during the July 12 and August 9, 2024, meetings, the Board discussed proposed language and ideas around what continuing professional development might look like, limitations that may apply, and breaking down the proposed categories a little differently in developing regulation language. The Board also heard from Vanessa Aponte from the Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada regarding a proposal to offer CE credits for pro bono services. She shared that proposed regulation language has been drafted and is before the Board for consideration and approval to move the regulation to a workshop.

The Executive Director explained the proposed revisions. She asked the Board to consider and address whether the 15 CPDs equate to the required 15 live/face-to-face and if the language needs changed to reflect that, and whether to include specific limits to the categories that do not currently have them (proposed (2)(b)(2)(I) in Academic Activities and (2)(b)(3) sponsored continuing education.

The Executive Director suggested making 2(I) in Academic Activities add up what to the number of credits the course provides.

Dr. Lenkeit inquired how one would document peer consultation in peer case consultation and professional services. The Executive Director informed there were proposed ways to document these activities. Dr. Benuto informed there is a log providers can use.

Dr. Woodard recommended "Attending a Psychology related conference..." align with what is currently allowed as it relates to the approval with the CE credits for attending conferences that do not already come with the CEU's.

Dr. Owens recommended the Board consider the how many credits should be allowed for attending non-APA accredited psychology related conferences. She suggested someone could submit the CE to the CE Chair to review if they believe it is worth more than 1 credit.

Dr. Woodard recommended raising the limit to 3 credits for psychology related conferences with allowing the CE to be reviewed by the CE committee to determine if additional credits would be allowed.

Dr. Benuto informed Sponsored continuing education is a professional body such as the APA. Dr. Benuto confirmed that subsection 3, Sponsored continuing education, is redundant and this should be removed.

On motion by Stephanie Woodard, second by Stephanie Holland, the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners approved moving the proposed regulation language forward to a regulation workshop. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Catherine Pearson, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 4-0.

12. Discussion and Possible Action regarding the supervision of Community Health Workers by Psychologists.

President Dr. Benuto informed that during last month's meeting, Jay Kolbet-Clausell with the Nevada Community Health Workers Association made a presentation to the Board with information about Community Health Workers, and there was some discussion regarding how to go about advising the Board's licensees on utilizing Community Health Workers and accessing informational resources that are available.

Dr. Owens informed that psychologists are approved to supervise community health workers and it would be important to have the Board office notify its licensees on how they can effectively and legally use community health workers in their practice.

On motion by Stephanie Holland, second by Catherine Pearson, the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners approved sending an informational email to the Board's licensees regarding Community Healthcare Workers. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Catherine Pearson, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 4-0.

13. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action to Approve Revisions to the Board's Finance: Budgeting Procedures Policy.

The Executive Director shared revisions to the Board's Budget Policy. She reviewed the Board's Budgeting Procedures Policy that the Board adopted in May's 2023 meeting. She informed that among the revisions were some grammatical corrections, stylistic changes, and aligning certain dates with dates that were changed when the Board approved revisions to the Renewal Policy during its August 9, 2024, meeting.

On motion by Stephanie Woodard, second by Stephanie Holland, the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners approved revisions to the Board's Finance Budgeting Procedures Policy. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Catherine Pearson, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 4-0.

14. (For Possible Action) Discussion and Possible Action to Reschedule the November 1, 2024 meeting to November 15, 2024, and/or consolidate the November 1, 2024, and December 13, 2024, meetings either on December 6, 2024 or December 13, 2024.

The Executive Director informed that she will be unable to attend the November 1, 2024 Board meeting as she will be in Dallas with other Board members for the ASPPB conference. She suggested moving the meeting to another date in November, or consolidating the November meeting with the December meeting on December 6th or December 13th.

Dr. Benuto expressed wanting to consolidate the meeting for December 6th. Dr. Holland recommended consolidating the meeting for December 6th.

On motion by Catherine Pearson, second by Stephanie Woodard, the Nevada State Board of Psychological Examiners approved consolidating the November and December 2024 Board meeting to December 6, 2024. (Yea: Lorraine Benuto, Stephanie Holland, Catherine Pearson, and Stephanie Woodard.) Motion Carried: 4-0.

15. (For Possible Action) Schedule of Future Board Meetings, Hearings, and Workshops. The Board May Discuss and Decide Future Meeting Dates, Hearing Dates, and Workshop Dates.

The next regular meeting of the Nevada Board of Psychological Examiners is currently scheduled for Friday, October 11, 2024, beginning at 8:00 a.m.

16. Requests for Future Board Meeting Agenda Items (No Discussion Among the Members will Take Place on this Item)

There were no requests for future agenda items.

17. Public Comment. The Board wants to remind those who participate in public comment that you are limited to three minutes per person, and that public comment is reserved for comment only. It will not be used as a platform for questions and answers. If you have a statement that is longer than three minutes, please submit your statement in writing and the Board will include it in the written materials that are posted. If you have questions for which you would like answers, please email the Board office at nbop@govmail.state.nv.us.

Dr. Benuto wanted to remind members of the public of Deputy Attorney General Harry Ward's request that no public comment be made on any pending complaints.

There was no public comment.

18. (For Possible Action) Adjournment

There being no further business before the Board, President Dr. Benuto adjourned the meeting at 9:59 a.m.